

Category				FileID	Summary	Causal/contributing factors	Toyota's Assessment	Summary of the significant underlying facts and evidence	Caption	Parties	Court	Docket No.	Date Filed
Report Type	Crash	Injury or Fatality	Property Damage Claim										
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	From the Field Technical Report, the customer explained he "looked down" for a moment & when he looked back up again he saw he was quickly closing on a vehicle that was stopped in front of his vehicle. He stated he applied the brakes but there was no way to stop in time & he struck the right rear of the vehicle. He stated no airbags deployed during the collision event & no warning lamps were lit on the dash prior to the collision event. He stated he suffered [REDACTED] during the event and suffered [REDACTED].	Unknown	The claimants did not pursue this claim. The EDR did not contain any data. An abnormality was observed on the DSB4 ASIC. Toyota does not have sufficient information about the incident to assess the root cause of the lack of EDR data.	The vehicle was inspected and the EDR was downloaded. The EDR did not contain any data.					
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	From the Field Technical Report, the Customer stated that she was involved in an accident with her 2014 Toyota Corolla on [REDACTED] at about 11:50 in the morning. She was driving southbound on [REDACTED] near New Haven, VT [REDACTED]. It was raining and she was driving at the posted speed limit 50mph. The vehicle that was traveling in front of her suddenly stopped, apparently attempting to exit the highway. She attempting to maneuver out of the way but was unable to stop in time. She collided with the rear of the stopped vehicle. She was knocked unconscious by the impact. She awoke when someone was removing her from the vehicle. She thinks that her head hit the steering wheel. She was transported to the local hospital Emergency Room for treatment of her injuries. The injuries include: [REDACTED]. The Air Bags did not deploy. She feels the impact warranted air bag deployment.	Unknown	The inspector could not communicate with the EDR, but it was determined that this was due to an improper connection to the module. Claimant did not pursue this claim. The information from the inspection appears to explain this communication problem. Without more information about the incident, we cannot make any other assessment.	The vehicle inspector was unable to communicate with the ACU and the EDR could not be downloaded. However, photographs from the inspection show the connection to the module was improper.					
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	From the Field Technical Report, on the evening of the incident, the claimant was the driver. With her in the right front seat, was her boyfriend. They were both utilizing their seatbelt restraints. They had just made a quick run, to pick up some fast food and were on their way home, they were traveling south bound on [REDACTED] at Mile Marker [REDACTED] in Gallup, New Mexico. They were traveling 50 to 45 mph. There are two lanes in each direction, with a neutral lane between. As they were headed south, there was a vehicle, (later determined to be a 2010 Chevy Pick Up) driving north in the neutral lane. The pickup, all of a sudden pulled directly into the claimant's lane, causing a head on impact. The pickup driver attempted to flee the scene, but was found a short distance, down the road. The claimant and her boyfriend had to be transported to the hospital, and the car was towed from the scene. The claimant is concerned, that there were no airbags deployed. Claimant had three of her [REDACTED]. Her boyfriend suffered [REDACTED] and now has a [REDACTED] and is back in the hospital.	Unknown	The inspector was able to download the EDR, and the recorded event was listed as a rollover event. Toyota does not have sufficient information about the incident to assess the data in the EDR.	The vehicle was inspected, and the inspector was able to communicate with the ACU and the EDR was able to be downloaded. The recorded event listed was designated as a roll over event.					
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	From the Field Technical Report, the owner / driver reported the vehicle was involved in a collision due to falling asleep. This loss of control resulted in the vehicle colliding into a tree and was heavily damaged. The owner / driver believe the failure of the airbags to deploy caused injury to himself.	Unknown	The vehicle was driven into a tree by a drowsy driver. The EDR was downloaded and showed one EDR record to be incomplete. The two other records indicated that airbag deployment was not warranted. The claimants did not pursue this claim. Toyota does not have sufficient information about the incident to assess the data in the EDR.	The vehicle was inspected, and the inspector was able to communicate with the ACU and the EDR was able to be downloaded.					
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	From the Field Technical Report, "Caller states that she was on the highway on [REDACTED] and it was raining bad all day but by the time she got on the road the rain had stopped. Caller states that the roads were still wet. Caller states she was behind the semi-truck going approx 70-72 mph. Caller states that she went to pass the semi-truck and she slid into the semi-truck on the right passenger side which is damaged. Caller states that the veh went up under the semi-truck on the right side and she turned her wheel to the left and ended up in the grass in middle of the highway. Caller states that the airbags did not deploy. Caller states that the police adv caller to call".	Unknown	The claimants did not pursue this claim. The EDR did not contain any data. Toyota does not have sufficient information about the incident to assess the root cause of the lack of EDR data.	The vehicle was inspected and the EDR was downloaded. The EDR did not contain any data.					
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury and Fatality	No	[REDACTED]	Information from CHP MAIT investigator; customer was driving the vehicle, with a RF passenger, at the time of the collision ahead. Reportedly, the subject Corolla was traveling south on the freeway, at 60-70mph, when the traffic suddenly stopped ahead. The Corolla impacted a Ford Expedition, pushing it forward to rest. The Corolla then contacted a Semi in the adjacent lane. The driver of the Corolla was [REDACTED], and occupant contact marks were found on the dash/instrument panel. No airbags deployed in this incident.	Unknown	Based on the report from ZF/TRW, we understand they believe the evidence is consistent with EOS to the DSB4. Toyota's assessment is on-going.	The EDR was attempted to be downloaded by the California Highway Patrol but communication with the ACU could not be established. A surrogate ECU was used to perform a chip swap. After performing the chip swap, the EDR was able to be downloaded. The EDR did not contain any data. Additionally, during an additional inspection, abnormal resistance measurements were recorded on the PCB indicating a potential short circuit.					
Legal Claim and Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	From the Field Technical Report, the owner backed out of her garage and drove down the street about 2 blocks. She was attempting to park and, applied the brakes but the car would not stop. To avoid hitting people, she ended up hitting a tree, damaging the right front of her car; including the fender, door and suspension. The air bags did not deploy.	Unknown	The vehicle crashed into a tree as the driver was trying to park. The claimant has not pursued her claim. Toyota does not have sufficient information about the incident to assess the root cause of the lack of EDR data.	The vehicle was inspected and the EDR was downloaded. The EDR did not contain any data.					
Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	The vehicle was identified by NHTSA and initially inspected by NHTSA to attempt to download the EDR. The EDR was unable to be downloaded on site and was inspected jointly by NHTSA, Toyota, and ZF-TRW at ZF-TRW.	Unknown	This vehicle was identified by NHTSA in a salvage yard and is not subject of a claim to Toyota. Information about the incident was not reported by the customer to Toyota, and little other information is known. Based on information from ZF/TRW, we understand they believe the resistance measurements indicate EOS to the DSB4. Toyota's assessment is on-going.	During the inspection at ZF-TRW the EDR was able to be downloaded. Additionally, during the inspection, abnormal resistance measurements were recorded on the PCB indicating a potential short circuit.					
Field Technical Report	Crash	Injury	No	[REDACTED]	The vehicle was identified by NHTSA and jointly inspected by NHTSA and Toyota to attempt to download the EDR. The EDR was able to be downloaded on site and was further inspected jointly by NHTSA, Toyota, and ZF-TRW at ZF-TRW.	Unknown	This vehicle was identified by NHTSA in a salvage yard and is not subject of a claim to Toyota. Information about the incident was not reported by the customer to Toyota, and little other information is known. Based on information from ZF/TRW, we understand they believe the resistance measurements indicate EOS to the DSB4. Toyota's assessment is on-going.	During the inspection at ZF-TRW the EDR was able to be downloaded again. The EDR did not contain any data. Additionally, during the inspection abnormal resistance measurements were recorded on the PCB indicating a potential short circuit.					