

## Johnson, Valencia (NHTSA)

---

**From:** Yon, Scott (NHTSA)  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 28, 2010 6:14 PM  
**To:** 'David Dillon'  
**Cc:** Reginald Modlin; Hershman, Larry (NHTSA); Johnson, Valencia (NHTSA)  
**Subject:** RE: PE10-031 Request

Dave,

Larry Hershman and I discussed this issue and the response proposed below. Please be advised that ODI reserves the right to revisit this request if circumstances call for it, however for now Chrysler's request is granted.

Best regards,  
Scott

Valencia, can you please place this entire email in the investigative file for PE10-031.

---

**From:** David Dillon [<mailto:dd28@chrysler.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 28, 2010 6:06 PM  
**To:** Yon, Scott (NHTSA)  
**Cc:** Reginald Modlin  
**Subject:** PE10-031 Request

Scott,

Chrysler has assessed the service information that would be available in response to question #6 in PE10-031. We have no change documentation and only would be able to provide a list of part numbers by model year in such a response. Further, to gather this information is a significant draw on resources as the only way to procure this information is to manually copy it into an excel spreadsheet. Finally, there is no means to link the service part numbers to a production part number at my disposal, given the age of the data.

Given the limited value of the information available to Chrysler regarding NHTSA's service part information request, Chrysler is proposing to limit its submission to question number 6 to the production part numbers.

We appreciate your consideration in advance.

Kindest Regards,

Dave Dillon  
Senior Manager  
Product Investigations/Campaigns