U.S. Department
of Transportation
**National Highway
Traffic Safety
Administration**

MAR 1 8 2010

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE.
Washington, DC 20590

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Terry Emerson
Director of Quality Assurance
Child Restraints and Regulatory Affairs
Dorel Juvenile Group
2525 State Street
Columbus, IN 47201-7494

NVS-212jfa
PE10-009

Dear Mr. Emerson:

This letter is to inform you that the Office of Defects Investigation (ODI) of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has opened a Preliminary Evaluation (PE10-009) to investigate allegations of occupant harness slippage in model year (MY) 2008 Safety 1st Vantage child seats manufactured by Dorel Juvenile Group, and to request certain information.

This office has received two reports from the same consumer alleging the center front adjuster (CFA) on two of his Safety 1st Vantage child seats would not hold the harness securely. The consumer reported that after adjustment of the harness the CFA did not close completely, allowing the harness webbing to slip through the adjuster. A copy of each of the reports, which are listed at the end of this letter, has been sent to your office electronically for your information.

Unless otherwise stated in the text, the following definitions apply to these information requests:

- **Subject child seats:** all Safety 1st Vantage child seats manufactured for sale in the United States[1].

- **Subject components:** the center front adjuster and all related components, including but not limited to, mounting hardware and harness straps.

- **Dorel:** Dorel Juvenile Group, all of its past and present officers and employees, whether assigned to their principal offices or any of their field or other locations, including all of their divisions, subsidiaries (whether or not incorporated) and affiliated enterprises and all of their headquarters, regional, zone and other offices and their employees, and all agents, contractors, consultants, attorneys and law firms and other persons engaged directly or indirectly (e.g., employee of a consultant) by or under the control of Dorel

[1] For comparative assessment, the subject child seats definition has been expanded to include all MY Vantage child seats.

(including all business units and persons previously referred to), who are or, in or after 2005, were involved in any way with any of the following related to the alleged defect in the subject child seats:

a. Design, engineering, analysis, modification or production (e.g. quality control);
b. Testing, assessment or evaluation;
c. Consideration, or recognition of potential or actual defects, reporting, record-keeping and information management, (e.g., complaints, field reports, warranty information, part sales), analysis, claims, or lawsuits; or
d. Communication to, from or intended for zone representatives, fleets, dealers, or other field locations, including but not limited to people who have the capacity to obtain information from dealers.

- **Alleged defect:** any failure of the subject components that impedes the ability of the CFA to lock the occupant harness in an intended adjustment position, or results in loosening of the harness.

- **Document:** "Document(s)" is used in the broadest sense of the word and shall mean all original written, printed, typed, recorded, or graphic matter whatsoever, however produced or reproduced, of every kind, nature, and description, and all non-identical copies of both sides thereof, including, but not limited to, papers, letters, memoranda, correspondence, communications, electronic mail (e-mail) messages (existing in hard copy and/or in electronic storage), faxes, mailgrams, telegrams, cables, telex messages, notes, annotations, working papers, drafts, minutes, records, audio and video recordings, data, databases, other information bases, summaries, charts, tables, graphics, other visual displays, photographs, statements, interviews, opinions, reports, newspaper articles, studies, analyses, evaluations, interpretations, contracts, agreements, jottings, agendas, bulletins, notices, announcements, instructions, blueprints, drawings, as-builts, changes, manuals, publications, work schedules, journals, statistical data, desk, portable and computer calendars, appointment books, diaries, travel reports, lists, tabulations, computer printouts, data processing program libraries, data processing inputs and outputs, microfilms, microfiches, statements for services, resolutions, financial statements, governmental records, business records, personnel records, work orders, pleadings, discovery in any form, affidavits, motions, responses to discovery, all transcripts, administrative filings and all mechanical, magnetic, photographic and electronic records or recordings of any kind, including any storage media associated with computers, including, but not limited to, information on hard drives, floppy disks, backup tapes, and zip drives, electronic communications, including but not limited to, the Internet and shall include any drafts or revisions pertaining to any of the foregoing, all other things similar to any of the foregoing, however denominated by Dorel, any other data compilations from which information can be obtained, translated if necessary, into a usable form and any other documents. For purposes of this request, any document which contains any note, comment, addition, deletion, insertion, annotation, or otherwise comprises a non-identical copy of another document shall be treated as a separate document subject to production. In all cases where original and any non-identical copies are not available, "document(s)"also means any identical copies of the original and all non-identical copies thereof. Any document, record, graph, chart, film or photograph originally produced in

color must be provided in color. Furnish all documents whether verified by the Dorel or not. If a document is not in the English language, provide both the original document and an English translation of the document.

- **Other Terms:** To the extent that they are used in these information requests, the terms "claim," "consumer complaint," "dealer field report," "field report," "fire," "fleet," "good will," "make," "model," "model year," "notice," "property damage," "property damage claim," "rollover," "type," "warranty," "warranty adjustment," and "warranty claim," whether used in singular or in plural form, have the same meaning as found in 49 CFR 579.4.

In order for my staff to evaluate the alleged defect, certain information is required. Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 30166, please provide numbered responses to the following information requests. Insofar as Dorel has previously provided a document to ODI, Dorel may produce it again or identify the document, the document submission to ODI in which it was included and the precise location in that submission where the document is located. When documents are produced, the documents shall be produced in an identified, organized manner that corresponds with the organization of this information request letter (including all individual requests and subparts). When documents are produced and the documents would not, standing alone, be self-explanatory, the production of documents shall be supplemented and accompanied by explanation.

Please repeat the applicable request verbatim above each response. After Dorel's response to each request, identify the source of the information and indicate the last date the information was gathered.

1. State the number of all subject child seats Dorel has manufactured, or imported for sale, in the United States by model number and model year. Please provide the data by monthly production in a table in Microsoft Access 2000, or a compatible format (e.g., Excel), entitled "PRODUCTION DATA."

2. State the number of each of the following, received by Dorel, or of which Dorel is otherwise aware, which relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect in the subject child seats:
   a. Consumer complaints;
   b. Reports from retailers or distributers;
   c. Reports involving a crash, injury, or fatality, based on claims against Dorel involving a death or injury, or notices received by Dorel alleging or proving that a death or injury was caused by the alleged defect in a subject child seats; and
   d. Lawsuits, both pending and closed, in which Dorel is or was a defendant or codefendant.

   For subparts "a" through "d," state the total number of each item (e.g., consumer complaints, reports from retailers, etc.) separately. Multiple incidents involving the same child seats are to be counted separately. Multiple reports of the same incident are also to be counted separately (i.e., a consumer complaint and a field report involving the same incident in which a crash occurred are to be counted as a crash report, a field report and a consumer complaint).

In addition, for item "c" provide a summary description of the alleged problem and causal and contributing factors and Dorel's assessment of the problem, with a summary of the significant underlying facts and evidence. For item "d", identify the parties to the action, as well as the caption, court, docket number, and date on which the complaint or other document initiating the action was filed.

3. Separately, for each item (complaint, report, claim, notice, or matter) within the scope of your response to Request No. 2, state the following information:
   a. Dorel's file number or other identifier used;
   b. The category of the item, as identified in Request No. 2 (i.e., consumer complaint, report from retailer, etc.);
   c. Child seat owner's name, address, and telephone number;
   d. Child seat model number;
   e. Child seat model year;
   f. Child seats date of manufacture;
   g. Incident date;
   h. Report or claim date;
   i. Number of alleged injuries; and
   j. Number of alleged fatalities.

   Provide this information in Microsoft Access 2000, or a compatible format, entitled "REQUEST NUMBER TWO DATA."

4. Produce copies of all documents related to each item within the scope of Request No. 2. Organize the documents separately by category (i.e., consumer complaints, reports from retailers, etc.) and describe the method Dorel used for organizing the documents.

5. State a total count for all of the following categories of claims, collectively, that have been paid by Dorel that relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect in the subject child seats: warranty claims; extended warranty claims; claims for good will services that were provided; or similar adjustments and reimbursements; and warranty claims or repairs made in accordance with a procedure specified in a technical service bulletin or customer satisfaction campaign.

   Separately, for each such claim, state the following information:
   a. Dorel's claim number;
   b. Child seats owner's name and telephone number;
   c. Child seats model number;
   d. Child sea model Year
   e. Child seats date of manufacture;
   f. Repair date;
   g. Repairing facility's name, telephone number, city and state or ZIP code;
   h. Labor operation number;
   i. Problem code;
   j. Replacement part number(s) and description(s);
   k. Concern stated by the customer; and

l. Comment, if any, by the technician or person(s) making the repair, and/or the person(s) processing the claim that relate to the claim and/or repair.

Provide this information in Microsoft Access 2000, or a compatible format, entitled "WARRANTY DATA."

6. Describe in detail the search criteria used by Dorel to identify the claims identified in response to Request No. 5, including the labor operations, problem codes, part numbers and any other pertinent parameters used. Provide a list of all labor operations, labor operation descriptions, problem codes, and problem code descriptions applicable to the alleged defect in the subject child seats. State the terms of the new child seats warranty coverage offered by Dorel on the subject child seats (i.e., the number of months for which coverage is provided and the child seats systems that are covered). Describe any extended warranty coverage option(s) related to the alleged defect that Dorel offered for the subject child seats and state by option, model, and model year, the number of child seats that are covered under each such extended warranty.

7. Produce copies of all service, warranty, and other documents that relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect in the subject child seats that Dorel has issued to any retailers or distributors, regional or zone offices, or other such entities. This includes, but is not limited to, bulletins, advisories, informational documents, training documents, or other documents or communications. Also include the latest draft copy of any communication that Dorel is planning to issue within the next 120 days.

8. Describe all assessments, analyses, tests, test results, studies, surveys, simulations, investigations, inquiries and/or evaluations (collectively, "actions") that relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect in the subject child seats that have been conducted, are being conducted, are planned, or are being planned by, or for, Dorel. For each such action, provide the following information:
   a. Action title or identifier;
   b. The actual or planned start date;
   c. The actual or expected end date;
   d. Brief summary of the subject and objective of the action;
   e. Engineering group(s)/supplier(s) responsible for designing and for conducting the action; and,
   f. A brief summary of the findings and/or conclusions resulting from the action.

   For each action identified, provide copies of all documents related to the action, regardless of whether the documents are in interim, draft, or final form. Organize the documents chronologically by action.

9. Describe all modifications or changes made by, or on behalf of, Dorel in the design, material composition, manufacture, quality control, supply, or installation of the subject components, from the start of production to date, which relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect in the subject child seats. For each such modification or change, provide the following information:

a. The date or approximate date on which the modification or change was incorporated into child seats production;
b. A detailed description of the modification or change;
c. The reason(s) for the modification or change;
d. The part numbers (service and engineering) of the original component;
e. The part number (service and engineering) of the modified component;
f. Whether the original unmodified component was withdrawn from production and/or sale, and if so, when;
g. When the modified component was made available as a service component; and,
h. Whether the modified component can be interchanged with earlier production components.

Also, provide the above information for any modification or change that Dorel is aware of which may be incorporated into child seats production within the next 120 days.

10. Furnish Dorel's assessment of the alleged defect in the subject child seats including:
    a. The causal or contributory factor(s);
    b. The failure mechanism(s);
    c. The failure mode(s);
    d. The risk to child seat safety that it poses;
    e. What warnings, if any, that the alleged defect was occurring or that the subject component was malfunctioning; and
    f. The reports included with this inquiry.

This letter is being sent to Dorel pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 30166, which authorizes NHTSA to conduct any investigation that may be necessary to enforce Chapter 301 of Title 49 and to request reports and the production of things. It constitutes a new request for information. Dorel's failure to respond promptly and fully to this letter could subject Dorel to civil penalties pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 30165 or lead to an action for injunctive relief pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 30163. (Other remedies and sanctions are available as well.) Section 5(a) of the TREAD Act, codified at 49 U.S.C. § 30165(b), provides for civil penalties of up to $6,000 per day, with a maximum of $16,375,000 for a related series of violations, for failing or refusing to perform an act required under 49 U.S.C. § 30166. *See* 49 CFR 578.6 (as amended by 71 Fed. Reg. 28279 (May 16, 2006)). This includes failing to respond to ODI information requests.

If Dorel cannot respond to any specific request or subpart(s) thereof, please state the reason why it is unable to do so. If on the basis of attorney-client, attorney work product, or other privilege, Dorel does not submit one or more requested documents or items of information in response to this information request, Dorel must provide a privilege log identifying each document or item withheld, and stating the date, subject or title, the name and position of the person(s) from, and the person(s) to whom it was sent, and the name and position of any other recipient (to include all carbon copies or blind carbon copies), the nature of that information or material, and the basis for the claim of privilege and why that privilege applies.

Dorel's response to this letter, in duplicate, together with a copy of any confidentiality request, must be submitted to this office by April 29, 2010. **All business confidential information must**

be submitted directly to the Office of Chief Counsel as described in the following paragraph and should not be sent to this office. In addition do not submit any business confidential information in the body of the letter submitted to this office. Please refer to PE10-009 in Dorel's response to this letter and in any confidentiality request submitted to the Office of the Chief Counsel. If Dorel finds that it is unable to provide all of the information requested within the time allotted, Dorel must request an extension from me at (202) 366-0139 no later than five business days before the response due date. If Dorel is unable to provide all of the information requested by the original deadline, it must submit a partial response by the original deadline with whatever information Dorel then has available, even if an extension has been granted.

If Dorel claims that any of the information or documents provided in response to this information request constitute confidential commercial material within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(b) (4), or are protected from disclosure pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1905, Dorel must submit supporting information together with the materials that are the subject of the confidentiality request, in accordance with 49 CFR Part 512, as amended (69 Fed. Reg. 21409 et seq; April 21, 2004), to the Office of Chief Counsel (NCC-111), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room W41-227, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20590. Dorel is required to **submit two copies of the documents containing allegedly confidential information (except only one copy of blueprints) and one copy of the documents from which information claimed to be confidential has been deleted.** Please remember that the word "CONFIDENTIAL" must appear at the top of each page containing information claimed to be confidential, and the information must be clearly identified in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 512.6.

Please send email notification to John Abbott (John.Abbott@dot.gov) and to ODI_IRresponse@dot.gov when Dorel sends its response to this office and indicate whether there is confidential information as part of Dorel's response.

If you have any technical questions concerning this matter, please call John Abbott of my staff at (202) 366-5221.

Sincerely,

3/18/10

D. Scott Yon, Chief
Vehicle Integrity Division
Office of Defects Investigation

VOQ #'s: 10308745 and 10299103.