

December 15, 2009

Jeffrey L. Quandt, Chief Vehicle Control Division Office of Defects Investigation National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 1200 New Jersey Ave., S. E., Room W48-307 Washington, D.C. 20590

N080326 Supplement 3

NVS-213swmc PE08-056

Dear Mr. Quandt:

This letter is the third supplement to General Motors' (GM) November 21, 2008, response to your information request (IR), dated September 30, 2008, regarding allegations of inappropriate brake application of one or more wheels induced by an Electronic Stability Control (ESC) system malfunction in 2005 – 2006 model year (MY) Chevrolet Corvette vehicles manufactured by GM.

This letter responds to Mr. Stephen McHenry's request that GM answer items 1 through 5 of the original PE08-056 for 2007 – 2008 MY Chevrolet Corvette vehicles. The subject vehicles for this supplement 3 response are 2007 – 2008 MY Chevrolet Corvette vehicles.

GM has restated questions 1 through 5 with modifications to reflect that this is the third update GM is providing to items 1 through 5 of PE08-056. All previous submissions pertained to 2005 – 2006 MY vehicles.

- State, by model and model year, the number of subject vehicles GM has manufactured for sale or lease in the United States. Separately, for each subject and peer vehicle manufactured to date by GM, state the following:
 - a. Vehicle identification number (VIN);
 - b. Model Year:
 - c. Date of manufacture;
 - d. Date warranty coverage commenced;
 - e. If the vehicle is equipped with the telescoping/tilt steering wheel option: and
 - f. The State in the United States where the vehicle was originally sold or leased (or delivered for sale or lease).

Provide the table in Microsoft Access 2000, or a compatible format, entitled "PRODUCTION DATA." See Enclosure 1, Data Collection Disc, for a pre-formatted table which provides further details regarding this submission.



Letter to Jeffrey L. Quandt PE08-056/N080326 Supplement 3 December 15, 2009 Page 2 of 7

GM is providing the number of subject vehicles produced for sale or lease in the United States by make, model and model year in Tables 1-1 below:

MAKE/MODEL	2007 MY	2008 MY	TOTAL
Chevrolet Corvette	37,747	32,889	70,636

TABLE 1-1: SUBJECT VEHICLE PRODUCTION

The production information requested in 1a-1f is provided on the Att_1_GM disk in the folder labeled "Q_01"; refer to the Microsoft Access 2000 file labeled "Q_01_PRODUCTION DATA". GM is providing the state where the vehicle was shipped in response to request 1f. For some of the subject vehicles, which have incomplete warranty files, the GM warranty system does not contain a warranty start date or state where the vehicle was shipped and, therefore, these fields are blank in the Microsoft Access 2000 file.

- 2. State the number of each of the following, received by GM, or of which GM is otherwise aware, which relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect (including but not limited to DTC code C0710 and its sub codes; Stabilitrak; and the Active Handling System) in the subject vehicles:
 - a. Consumer complaints, including those from fleet operators;
 - Field reports, including dealer field reports;
 - c. Reports involving a crash, injury, or fatality, based on claims against the manufacturer involving a death or injury, notices received by the manufacturer alleging or proving that a death or injury was caused by a possible defect in a subject vehicle, property damage claims, consumer complaints, or field reports;
 - d. Reports involving a fire, based on claims against the manufacturer involving a death or injury, notices received by the manufacturer alleging or proving that a death or injury was caused by a possible defect in a subject vehicle, property damage claims, consumer complaints, or field reports;
 - e. Property damage claims; and
 - f. Third-party arbitration proceedings where GM is or was a party to the arbitration; and
 - g. Lawsuits, both pending and closed, in which GM is or was a defendant or codefendant.

For subparts "a" through "e" state the total number of each item (e.g., consumer complaints, field reports, etc.) separately. Multiple incidents involving the same vehicle are to be counted separately. Multiple reports of the same incident are also to be counted separately (i.e., a consumer complaint and a field report involving the same incident in which a crash occurred are to be counted as a crash report, a field report and a consumer complaint).

Letter to Jeffrey L. Quandt PE08-056/N080326 Supplement 3 December 15, 2009 Page 3 of 7

In addition, for items "c" through "g," provide a summary description of the alleged problem and causal and contributing factors and GM's assessment of the problem, with a summary of the significant underlying facts and evidence. For items "f" and "g," identify the parties to the action, as well as the caption, court, docket number, and date on which the complaint or other document initiating the action was filed.

Table 2-1 below summarizes records that may relate to inappropriate brake application of one or more wheels occurring suddenly and unexpectedly due to an Electronic Stability Control (ESC) system malfunction. GM has organized the records by the GM file number within each attachment.

		SUBCATEGORIES				
TYPE OF REPORT	GM Reports	CORRESPONDING TO NHTSA REPORTS	NUMBER WITH PROPERTY DAMAGE	NUMBER WITH CRASH	NUMBER WITH INJURIES/ FATALITIES	NUMBER WITH FIRES*
Owner Reports	0	0	0	0	0	0
Field Reports	2	0	0	0	0	0
Not-In-Suit Claims	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subrogation Claims	0	0	0	0	0	0
Third Party Arbitration Proceedings	0	0	0	0	0	0
Product Liability Lawsuits	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Reports (Including Duplicates)	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles with Reports (Unique VIN)	2	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 2-1: SUBJECT VEHICLE - MAY RELATE TO ALLEGED CONDITION - REPORT BREAKDOWN

To date, GM's investigation of the alleged defect has not included an assessment of the cause(s) of each incident responsive to request 2. Some incident reports may not contain sufficient reliable information to accurately assess cause.

The sources of the requested information and the last date the searches were conducted are tabulated in Table 2-2 below.

SOURCE SYSTEM	LAST DATE GATHERED	
Customer Assistance Center	11/23/09	
Technical Assistance Center	11/30/09	
Field Information Network Database (FIND)	12/01/09	
Field Product Report Database (FPRD)	11/23/09	
Company Vehicle Evaluation Program (CVEP)	12/01/09	
Captured Test Fleet (CTF)	12/01/09	
Early Quality Feedback (EQF)	12/01/09	
Legal / Employee Self Insured Services (ESIS)/Product Liability Claims/ Lawsuits	12/4/09	

TABLE 2-2: DATA SOURCES

Letter to Jeffrey L. Quandt PE08-056/N080326 Supplement 3 December 15, 2009 Page 4 of 7

- 3. Separately, for each item (complaint, report, claim, notice, or matter) within the scope of your response to Request No. 1, state the following information:
 - a. GM's file number or other identifier used;
 - b. The category of the item, as identified in Request No. 1 (i.e., consumer complaint, field report, etc.);
 - c. Vehicle owner or fleet name (and fleet contact person), address, and telephone number;
 - d. Vehicle's VIN;
 - e. Vehicle's model year;
 - f. Vehicle's mileage at time of incident;
 - g. Incident date;
 - h. Report or claim date;
 - i. Whether a crash is alleged:
 - j. Whether a fire is alleged;
 - k. Whether property damage is alleged;
 - 1. Number of alleged injuries, if any; and
 - m. Number of alleged fatalities, if any.

Provide this information in Microsoft Access 2000, or a compatible format, entitled "REQUEST NUMBER ONE DATA." See Enclosure 1, Data Collection Disc, for a preformatted table which provides further details regarding this submission.

The requested information is provided on the Att_1_GM disk in the folder labeled "Q_03"; refer to the Microsoft Access 2000 file labeled "Q_03_REQUEST NUMBER TWO DATA". Some incident reports may not contain sufficient reliable information to accurately answer all parts of request 3.

4. Produce copies of all documents related to each item within the scope of Request No. 2. Organize the documents separately by category (i.e., consumer complaints, field reports, etc.) and describe the method GM used for organizing the documents.

Copies of the records summarized in Table 2-1 are on the Att_1_GM disk embedded in the folder labeled "Q_03"; refer to the Microsoft Access 2000 file labeled "Q_03_REQUEST NUMBER TWO DATA". GM has organized the records by the GM file number within each attachment.

5. State, by model year, a total count for all of the following categories of claims, collectively, that have been paid by GM to date that relate to, or may relate to, the alleged defect (including but not limited to DTC code C0710 and its sub codes; Stabilitrak; the Active Handling System; and all versions of the subject bulletin) in the subject vehicles: warranty claims; extended warranty claims; claims for good will services that were provided; field, zone, or similar adjustments and reimbursements; and warranty claims or repairs made in accordance with a

Letter to Jeffrey L. Quandt PE08-056/N080326 Supplement 3 December 15, 2009 Page 5 of 7

procedure specified in a technical service bulletin or customer satisfaction campaign.

Separately, for each such claim, state the following information:

- a. GM's claim number;
- b. Vehicle owner or fleet name (and fleet contact person) and telephone number;
- c. VIN:
- d. Vehicle's model year;
- e. Repair date;
- f. Vehicle mileage at time of repair;
- g. Repairing dealers or facility's name, telephone number, city and state or ZIP code:
- h. Labor operation number;
- i. Problem code;
- j. Replacement part number(s) and description(s);
- k. Concern stated by customer;
- I. Cause and correction as stated by repairing dealer; and
- m. Additional comment, if any, by dealer/technician relating to claim and/or repair.

Provide this information in Microsoft Access 2000, or a compatible format, entitled "WARRANTY DATA." See Enclosure 1, Data Collection Disc, for a pre-formatted table which provides further details regarding this submission.

Table 5-1 summarizes by model year the warranty claims and MIC service contract claims for the subject vehicles that may be related to the alleged defect. This data was analyzed and sorted into two categories, as shown on the tables, based on review of the labor code descriptions, trouble code descriptions, customer complaint and meaningful information contained in the verbatim of those claims that contained verbatim information.

The definition of the two categories is as follows:

- May be Related Vehicle may have had inappropriate brake application of one or more wheels induced by an ESC system malfunction.
- Unknown The warranty claim did not provide enough information to put the claim in the previous category and there was no indication that the vehicle had an inappropriate brake application of one or more wheels induced by an ESC system malfunction.

Model Year	Model	May be Related	Unknown	Total
2007	Chevrolet Corvette	5/0	117/2	124
2008	Chevrolet Corvette	3/0	37/0	40
	Total	8	156	164

TABLE 5-1: SUBJECT VEHICLE REGULAR WARRANTY CLAIMS/MIC SERVICE CONTRACT CLAIMS

A summary of the warranty claims in Table 5-1, including the information requested in 5(am), is provided on the Att_1_GM disk in the folder labeled "Q_05"; refer to the Microsoft Access 2000 file labeled "Q_05_TABLE 5-1 WARRANTY DATA".

Letter to Jeffrey L. Quandt PE08-056/N080326 Supplement 3 December 15, 2009 Page 6 of 7

GM searched the GM Claims Analytical Reporting Database (CARD), Global Analysis and Reporting Tool (GART – regular warranty), the Motors Insurance Corporation (MIC – service contract claims) and the Universal Warranty Corporation (UWC – service contract claims) databases to collect the warranty data for this response. The warranty data was last gathered on December 3, 2009.

GM's warranty database does not contain the vehicle owner's name or telephone number. Some of the replacement part numbers; part descriptions and customer concern code descriptions are not included in the GM warranty database. GM is providing a field labeled "Verbatim Text". The verbatim text is an optional field in the GM warranty system for the dealer to enter any additional comments that may be applicable to the warranty claim. The verbatim text field is not required to be completed for every warranty claim.

The MIC – service contract claims database does not contain the vehicle owner information. The UWC extended warranty system does not use the GM labor code or labor code description and it does not contain the repairing dealer code, trouble code or trouble code description.

* * *

This response is based on searches of GM locations where documents determined to be responsive to your request would ordinarily be found. As a result, the scope of this search did not include, nor could it reasonably include, "all of its divisions, subsidiaries (whether or not incorporated) and affiliated enterprises and all of their headquarters, regional, zone and other offices and their employees, and all agents, contractors, consultants, attorneys and law firms and other persons engaged directly or indirectly (e.g., employee of a consultant) by or under the control of GM (including all business units and persons previously referred to), who are or, in or after January 1, 2000, were involved in any way with any of the following related to the alleged defect in the subject vehicles:

- a. Design, engineering, analysis, modification or production (e.g. quality control);
- b. Testing, assessment or evaluation;
- Consideration, or recognition of potential or actual defects, reporting, record-keeping and information management, (e.g., complaints, field reports, warranty information, part sales), analysis, claims, or lawsuits; or
- d. Communication to, from or intended for zone representatives, fleets, dealers or other field locations, including but not limited to people who have the capacity to obtain information from dealers."

Letter to Jeffrey L. Quandt PE08-056/N080326 Supplement 3 December 15, 2009 Page 7 of 7

This response was compiled and prepared by this office upon review of the documents produced by various GM locations, and does not include documents generated or received at those GM locations subsequent to their searches.

Please contact me if you require further information about this response or the nature or scope of our searches.

Sincerely,

Gay P. Kent,

Director Product Investigations and Safety

Regulations

Attachments