EA02-025

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC.'S
9/10/03
REQUEST NO. 7
BOX 10
PART A – I
PART H

1	Q. You've never done that to any car you've
2	ever owned, you never washed the engine compartment,
3	sir?
4	A. I have not.
5	Q. All right. Ever known anyone to do that?
6	A. I can't remember any specific person that
7	said they did that.
8	. O. You never walked into an O'Reilly's or a
9	Charlie's Hi-Lo or any parts store and seen those
10	engine degressers that they sell in there to spray
11	in the engine compartment to keep your motor clean?
12	A. Ho-idea. Mb
13	Q. You didn't know that people wash the
14	inside of their engine compartments?
15	A. I know it's possible people do wash the
16	inside of their engine compartments.
17	Q. So is it Texas Instruments' corporate
18	position that it's not foreseeable that an owner of
19	the a '92 or '93 Panther might wash the engine
20	compartment?
21	A. Texas Instruments doesn't know whether
33	anyone's going to wash the engine compartment in
23	their car or not. It's Texas Instruments' position,
24	Texas Instruments needs to make sure the switch
25	meets the specification set forth defines and the

intention of those specifications by ford is to make 1 sure it encompasses in the event that the switch 2 makes that compliance. 3 That's not my question now. My question now: 'Is it foresegable? And you're here as the TI 5 corporate rep and I would just like to know if TI is going to have any criticisms of someone who owns a '93 or '93 Panther because they simply wash their engine compartment at a car wash, yes or no? 9 I don't know. 10 A. 11 MS. ALVAREZ: Objection -- Objection, 12 form. Can you think of any criticisms now, just 13 ٥. thinking about it, using your common sense and 14 15 reasonable engineering probabilities? 16 I don't know if there's anything written 17

- A. I don't know if there's anything written

 present
 in the vehicle beaks by Ford that says, Do not wash

 your ear. I don't know how any of -- whether

 there's any recommendations by Ford to.
 - Q. Should there be?
 - A. I don't know.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. If -- If -- Could TI tell Ford that there should be warnings, don't wash the inside of your engine compartment because these speed control deactivation switches might start corroding?

1	Should Did TI tell Ford that?
2	A. I'm not aware of anyone at TI telling Ford
3	that no one should wash their car.
4	Q. Did Ford ask TI, should we tell our our
5	buyers of our vehicles this?
6	A. I'm not aware of Ford asking TI. Ford has
7.	the the big picture. They have the full
8	understanding of the vehicle and what the vehicle
9	may go through.
10	Q. Okay. What are these tests that this
11	switch has to pass that involves salt spray and
12	water and liquids?
13 .	A. I don't remember all the specific tests
14	off the the top of my head, but there's usually
15	tests around humidity exposure, salt spray
16	exposure
17	Q. Dunk?
18	A. Sometimes it's dunk, cometimes it's a
19	spray. I don't remember specifically in the Ford
20	spec how it's defined.
21	Q. Ckay. So those are Ford specs?
22	A. Those are Ford specs, yes.
23	Q. And you think that Looking back at
24	those specifications for those different types of

spray and dunk tests, do you think that those tests

25

are aufficient to -- when it comes to the design of 1 the switch, to prevent any harm to the switch if 2 3 someone were to wash the inside of their engine compartment?

MS. ALVARES: Objection, form.

I don't know if the Ford specifications are sufficient or not sufficient to encompass what may happen to the vehicle and it's service.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- No. But TI did the tests. And knowing what those tests were, what do you think now? Do you think those tests were sufficient to determine whather or not the switch could handle a car washing if someone were to lift their hood and wash the engine compartment at a car wash?
- I don't know. I only know that TI tested the switches to the Ford specs, don't know how those specs were derived by Ford.
- Okay. Any criticisms of any of my clients if they just happened to do that, wash their engine compartment, you personally?
- I don't have a personal feeling on it one way or the other-about I personally would not - wash my
- Okay. So you're not going to come into court and may one of my clients really messed up when he raised his hood, he or she raised his hood,

to wash his engine compartment? You're not going to do that, are you?

A. I don't know what the requirements or what the Ford recommendations were around washing of those or not.

- Q. Isn't it Texas Instruments who just served a whole bunch of discovery on us about this question, washing the engine compartment; isn't that true?
- 10 A. I don't understand what you're referring
 - O. Well, the Texas Instruments lawyers just served a big stack of what's called a Request For Admission and some of the requests ask whether or not my clients washed their engine compartment.

 Now, here's one right here (Indicating): Admit that the vehicle engine had been steam cleaned. Admit that the vehicle engine had never been steam cleaned. Admit that the vehicle engine was steam cleaned. Admit that the vehicle engine was steam cleaned during the time that you owned it.

I mean, if -- if TI doesn't know anything about this or what effect this might have on -- on the switch, if any, then why is TI asking my clients all these questions about this sort of thing?

1	A. I don't know why those specific questions
`2	were asked.
3	Q. Well, you're the corporate rep. Why is TI
4	esking my clients something like that this, sir? We
5	need to know.
•	MS. ALVARES: Objection
7	Q. We need to know why TI wants to know this
8	information
9	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection
10	Q about something that everybody
11	almost everybody does to their car.
12	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection, form.
13	Q. And you don't know?
14	A. Based on our tests that we have done, we
15	had seen that if an wasenthminsted water got into
16	the switch, with the right power conditions, that we
17	were able to ignite the switch in the lab, there was
18	a mating connector failure that could allow water
19	into the switch.
20	Q. Well, that wasn't my question. That's it,
21	because water might into the switch, and so
22	therefore, people shouldn't steam clean their car
23	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection
24	Q is that what the point is?
25	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection, form.

1	A. That's not what I'm saying.
2	Q. Ckay. So it's okay if people steam clean
3	their engines?
4	A. That's not what I'm saying either.
5	Q. It's not okay for someone to steam clean
6	their
. 7	A. I den't know whether it's okay or not.
8	Q. Okay. Can you steam clean your engine
9	with the speed control deactivation switch that was
10	sold to Ford and put on the '92, '93 Panthers?
11	A. I don't know. I don't know if the mating
12	connector can survive that exposure.
13	Q. Isn't that something that maybe TI
14	should've considered before they designed this
15	awitch?
16	A. TI did not design the mating connector or
17	the seal of the mating connector.
18	Q. Shouldn't TI have asked Ford, Do you
19	anticipate that owners of '92 or '93 Panthers might
20	clean their engine compartment, we need to know this
21	when we design this switch? Shouldn't TI ask Ford
22	that?
23	A. TI asked Ford, What are the specifications
24	required, what environments might the switch be
25	exposed to. And Ford provides those specifications

for those environments --1 2 Q. Okay. -- and those specifications of the switch. 3 λ. Okay. So that if -- if that's an issue . 5 that causes a problem for this switch, then that's Ford's responsibility to give that specification to 6 TI? Is that what you're saying? Ford gives to TI the specifications for A. what performance the awitch needs to achieve. . 9 10 Q. And so Ford would say what, we don't 11 anticipate that anyone might clean their engine 12 compartment? No. Ford would take all their data as far 13 λ. 14 as what they think people might do to their car and 15 based on that information design specifications that 16 the switch should meet. 17 We're talking about cleaning the engine 18 compartment. Did Ford say, No one's going to clean 19. their engine compartments for these '92, '93 Panthers? Did Ford say that in the specification? 20 21 No, that's not in the specification. А. 23 Did Ford say, People might clean their ٥. 23 engine compartments in this --A. That is not -- That is not in the 24

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

25

apecification.

1	Q. Okay. What What is the not worth of
2	exas Instruments?
3	MS. ALVARES: Objection, form.
4	A. I don't know what the net worth of Texas
5	nstruments is.
6	Q. More than a billion dollars?
7	A. I don't know.
8	Q. Ten billion?
9	A. I Don't know.
10	O. Who knows that then, someone who writes
11	he annual report?
12	A. I would assume they would know, but
13	Q. You get an annual report when you're a
14	hareholder, don't you, sir, which includes you?
15	A. Yes, I do get an annual report from Texas
16	nstruments.
17	Q. Did you look it, look in there and see how
18	I's doing last year when you got the annual report?
19 -	A. Some of it, I looked at.
20	Q. So what did it say?
21	A. I don't remember what it maid.
22	Q. You don't have any idea what TI is worth?
23	A. No, I don't.
24	Q. You don't know if Tl's worth 310 or \$10
25	illion?

1	A. I could guess if you want me to guess.
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. I would say it's in the billions.
4	Q. More than a hundred billion, probably,
5	wouldn't that be correct?
6	A. I don't know if it's more than a hundred
7	billion.
B	O. More than \$50 billion?
9	MS. ALVARES: Objection, form.
10	A. I'm not sure if TI is worth more than \$50
11	billion.
12	Q. Somewhere between 10 billion and \$50
13	billion?
14	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection, form.
15	A. I'm not sure. I I I know it's more
16	than a billion. That's it. I'm not sure.
17	Q. How many shares of stock are there out
16	there?
19	A. I don't know how many shares of stock, TI
30	stock are out there.
21	Q. More than 500 million?
22	A. I don't know.
23	Q. Probably more than 500 million shares?
24	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection, form.
25	Q. Right?

1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. At what price per share?
3	A. TI stock price is somewhere around a
4	hundred dollars per share currently.
5	Q. All right. Okay. So you can just simply
6	take the number of shares and multiply that by the
7	current price and get a pretty good idea of what the
8	company's worth, can't you?
9	A. I don't know the details on on that.
10	Q. And so how much do these little switches
11	cost?
12	A. In the 2- to 3-dollar range.
13	Q. Two to three dollars? Now much did the
14	switch cost that has the epoxy sealing the void in
15	the electrical side of the switch?
16	A. I'm not sure exactly how much that switch
17	coste.
18	Q. How much does that cost?
19	A. How much does
20	MS. ALVAREZ: Objection, form.
21	A what cost?
22	Q. How much it cost to take a TI speed
23	control deactivation switch and fill the electrical
24	side of the switch, fill the void with epoxy?
25	A. I'm not sure exactly how much that costs.

1	Q. Well, we need an idea of the range. How
2	does that affect this \$2-switch, pennies?
3	A. Probably more than pannies.
4	Q. How many pennies?
5	A. Dimes. I don't I'm not sure exactly.
6	Q. Twenty or thirty centa?
7	A. Depending on what the epoxy was, how it
. а	needed to be applied.
9	Q. Okay. How would it need to be applied?
10	A. Depends what you're trying to achieve.
11	Q, Well, how was it applied on the the
12	Volvo switch?
13	A. It was an epoxy preform that was put in
14	the base of the terminals, then the part was heated
15	up to a higher temperature and the epoxy would flow
16	and then harden.
17	Q. Okay. So it flows through the void and
18	makes a nice, nest sest around all the electrical
19	components and hopefully keeps water out of the
20	system, right?
21	A. Don't no whether its makes that a good
22	seal or not, especially over the life.
23	Q. And then how much did that cost for the
24	Volvoe?
25	A. I'm not sure exactly. But again, in the

	· · ·
1	ten, twenty-cent range.
2	Q. Okay. So the extra price to Volvo was
3	something in the 10 to the 20-cent range to add the
4	epoxy to fill the void in the electrical side of the
5	switch, right?
6	A. I don't know exactly what the exact price
7	₩8.8 ·
8	MR. JOLLY: Okay. I've already said
. 9	on the record how I feel about continuing this
10	deposition, so at this stage I'm not going to pass
11	the witness because I'm not finished.
12	Okay. Maybe we'll mest again soon.
1.3	TRE WITNESS: I'm sure we will.
14	MR. JOLLY: Thank you.
15	MR. NANSKE: Why don't we take a
16	quick break and them I'll go shead and change places
17	with you, Norman, if I could, so I could be a little
18	closer to the witness
19	MR. JOLLY: Yeah.
20	MR. MANSKE: and
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
22	record. The time now is 3:38.
23	(Recess had.)
24	(Exhibits No. 8 marked.)
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the

236 1 record. The time now is 3:47. 2 EXAMINATION (BY MR. MANSKE) 3 Q. name is Jeff Manake and I'm one of the attorneys . 5 representing Ford Motor Company in this case. ٠6 I'd like to begin by seeing if I can get you to agree that when it comes to a component · 8 supplier for an automobile manufacturer there are essentially four significant events when it comes to 9 10 that component supplier. 11 Now, the first one would be design, . 12 the second one would be manufacturing, the third one would be testing, including preproduction and 13 14 production testing and the fourth one would be the 15 field experience of the component suppliers' 16 production part. 17 Can we agree that those are 18 essentially the four major categories that a 29 component supplier examines or might go through 20 during the course of the life of a product? Those sounds like four -- four major 21 I can't think of any others ones right now. 22 Let's go ahead and talk a little bit about 23

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

the brake pressure switch or the pressure switch in

general. Let me go ahead and hand you Deposition

24

25

Exhibit No. 8 which is TI Document 604 and see if 1. you can identify that for the record, please. Yes, this looks like a -- a foil Andy 3 McGuirk had put together and presented to Ford. And what does this document attempt to 5 depict or establish? 6 7 This shows different types of switches that TI had been manufacturing and when 8 manufacturing those switches began. 9 And is it fair to say -- Tell me if I'm 10 Q. interpreting this particular chart right -- it looks 11 like Ford began -- Not Ford -- Texas Instruments 12 began manufacturing pressure switches in 1983 13 according to this particular document? 14 According to this, TI started 15 A. manufacturing power steering pressure switches in 16 1983. 17 And power steering pressure switches were 18 Q. the first application of a pressure switch that 19 Texas Instruments first utilized; is that correct? 20 No. I believe air conditioning pressure 21 switches was the first application --22 Q. Okay. 23 -- that TI manufactured pressure switches. 24 A. Why is it not on this foil? 25 Q.

This refers to hydraulic switch history. And air condition switches, we consider a separate .2 grouping, air conditioning switches. 3 4 -Pair enough. I can understand that. As 5 to high hydraulic switches then, the very first pressure -- hydraulic pressure switch that TI б 7 designed and manufactured would ve been a power steering switch? I believe that's correct, yes. ·A 10 ٥. And for whom would that power steering 11 switch have been designed and manufactured? General Notors. 12 13 Okay. Let me hand you this series of ٥. 14 documents that you produced today and see if you can 15 look through that and see if that contains a 16 document that has the pressure switch history for 17 the various pressure -- hydraulic pressure switches 18 designed and manufactured by Texas Instruments. 19 THE VIDBOGRAPHER: Excuse me, eir. 20 Could I have you put your microphone on? 21 MR. MANSKE: Oh, sure. 22 Q. All right. 23 There's documents here that list the types 24 of pressure switches manufactured by TI and there's

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Taxas 77065

documents here that define some of the switches

25

1	and and vehicles and customers that switches are
2	applied to.
3	Q. Okay. The document you just had in your
4	hand a moment ago, the one that's stapled together,
5	that appears to be a chronological listing, is that
6	correct? Let's go ahead and pull and separate that
7	one out if we can.
8	A. (Witness complies.)
9	Q. Identify that particular chart by a TI
10.	document number at the bottom and tell me the range
11	it goes through and I'll go ahead and mark it as the
12	next Deposition Exhibit No. 9.
13	A. It's TI number 0011126. And not sure what
14	you mean by range it goes through.
15	Q. What's the last number of documents, the
16	document number that is stapled at the back and are
17	the numbers consecutive in number?
18	A. Numbers are consecutive and the last
19	number 18 TI 0011131.
20	Q. Now, let me go sheed and put the
21	deposition sticker on there for 9.
22	(Exhibit No. 9 marked.)
23	Q. And see if you can identify whether or not
24	that particular chart identifies hydraulic presours

switches manufactured by Texas Instruments from 1983

25

up to the present.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

-20

21

22

23

- switches or not. Let me explain the background information on this document. This document is a design engineering cross reference list. It's used for reference only. I'm not sure if every piece of information on this document is correct or not. Design engineers would use this as -- as basic guidelines for some of the different basic switches that are in production and then to get detailed information would go to customer specifications or go to revision control drawings and the like. So I'm not sure if all the switches TI has manufactured since 1983 are on this document or not.
 - Q. Is there a document that you've produced that would identify all the switches that TI has manufactured since '83?
 - A. I'm not aware of any document that TI has that lists every switch made by -- every hydraulic switch made by TI since 1983.
 - Q. Let's go back to Deposition Exhibit No. 8. That's the chart that you have in front of you.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Tell me the vehicle lines that General

 Motors utilized the power steering switch for with

the '83 switch that you have there. I -- I don't know which lines they were. 2 Do you know how that particular switch was constructed, whether or not that had a Kapton diaphragm, a crimping mechanism? 5 6 I do know that that switch had a Kapton 7 diaphragm and a crimping mechanism. All the switches that we have identified В or the categories of hydraulic switches identified 9 10 . on Deposition Exhibit No. 8, would those have 11 utilized Kapton diaphragus and a crimping device of 12 some type, be it a manual or automated machine? 13 Yes. All of the switches depicted here would've had Kapton diaphragms and some crimping --14 15 orimping mechanism. 16 At any time throughout TI's history of 17 designing and manufacturing hydraulic pressure switches, did they ever use any other material other 18 19 than Kapton for the purpose of a diaphragm, if you 20 understand my question? 21 In a production switch? A. 22 Q. Yes. I'm not aware of any production switch 23 24 where TI used -- Actually, let me take a step back.

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

There is in our facility in Versailles, they make

25

1 switches for the commercial a/c marketplace and they use a welded diaphragm in place. But for the 2 automotive switches that TI produces, I am not aware 3 of any switch that doesn't use Kapton and doesn't ______GYİMD. Q. And the only source for the Kapton that's 6 7 been utilized in automotive hydraulic pressure switches from 1983 to the present, would that be the Du -- DuPont company? 9 10 Yes. I believe all Kapton came from 11 DuPont. 12 Ο. Starting in 1983 when you were utilizing Kapton for the power steering pressure switches, did 13 you utilize just one piece of Kapton in that 14 15 particular part or did you have multiple pieces of 16 Kapton or was it some other different application in

- A. I'm not sure if every application -- power steering application used the exact same number of -- of Kapton diaphragms. I know that typically we will use two Kapton diaphragms in our power steering pressure switches.
 - Q. Even as early as 1983?

its entirety?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 A. I don't know the -- the specifics, whether 25 those designs in 1983 used one or two layers of

1 Kapton. In 1983 time period, did you make power steering pressure switches for anyone other than 3 General Motors? I don't know. 5 6 It appears from looking at Deposition 7 Exhibit No. 8 that you made only power steering hydraulic pressure switches for automobiles and no other hydraulic pressure switches until the 1987 9 10 time period; is that correct? 11 A. Yes, I believe that's correct. And were your only customers for hydraulic 12 pressure switches from the '82 to '87 time period 13 General Motors? 14 15 No. I believe we also produced a power 16 ateering pressure switch for Ford during that time 17 frame. When did you first begin producing a power 18 19 steering pressure switch for Ford? 20 I don't know the exact timing. λ. Could you look at the document that we've 21 22 identified as Deposition Exhibit No. 9 and see if 23 that might contain that information, the reference chart you utilized earlier or referenced? 24

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

25

I can't tell for sure from -- from this

chart. It's possible. I know sometimes in Ford's 1 2 part number they will put a number that signifies 3 the date of the -- the -- the start of manufacture. But I'm not positive on some of these part numbers, the exact date of -- of initial manufacture. 5 I notice that in your part number 6 terminology it looked like the predecessor switch to 7 the 77 -- Is it PSL2-17 A. Yes. 10 -- was a 57 introductory numeric to the part number. What's the difference between the 57 11 12 and the 77? 13 A. The 57PS was an earlier design when the 14 change from 57PS to the 77PS families is in the 15 base. The primary change, instead of an S spring --16 apring arm, we used a L-shape spring arm in the 77**P**9. 17 18 You can show us what you mean by using 19 this particular diagram, perhaps, which is Deposition Exhibit No. 6? It might make it a little 20 21 more clear. 22 This -- This is a spring arm here (Indicating). There's an L-shape. In the 57PS, 23 24 it's an S-shape.

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

Okay. Why don't you show that for the

25

ο.

camera if you can just so anyone that happens to watch the video can understand that.

- A. This spring arm here (Indicating) is an L-shaped spring we use in the 77PS. In the 57PS this arm was an S-shaped spring arm.
- Q. Going back to Deposition Exhibit No. 8, after you began utilizing or creating power steering pressure switches, what other category of pressure switches did Texas Instruments get into?
- A. Suspension pressure switches, transmission pressure switches control pressure switches and clutch pressure switches.
- Q. And it appears from this document that in 1987, that's when you first began going into suspension pressure switches; is that correct?
- A. Yes. According to this document, that's correct.
- Q. Was Ford a customer for your 1987 suspension pressure switches?
- A. I believe this is referring to the pressure switch actually used on the brake line for Ford, but for their suspension *yatem.
- Q. What about for the 1990 development where you started creating and designing transmission pressure switches?

1	A. Those were for General Motors.
. 2	O. And not for Ford at anytime from 1990 to
.3	the present?
4	A. No. We We began supplying our
5	transmission pressure switch to Ford somewhere
6	sometime in the late '90s. I'm not sure of the
7	exact time.
	Q. And in 1991, is that when you first began
9	utilizing speed control deactivation switches or
10	what we've been referring to as a brake pressure
11	switch? .
12	A. 1991, I believe, was the first application
13	of the speed control deactivation switch for Ford.
14	Q. And was the first ap Not for Ford. I
15	want to know, for anybody.
16	A. As far as I know, Ford was the first
17	application of brake deact brake speed
18	deactivation control pressure switches.
19	Q. And was the first application for the
20	speed control deactivation switch or brake pressure
21	switch in the 1991 Lincoln Town Car?
22	A. As far as I know, it was the Lincoln it
23	was the Lincoln Town Car.
24	Q. And we've heard reference throughout the
25	day to a series of vehicles called the Panther

1 platform. Can you identify for us, if you know, 2 what that consists of?

- A. My understanding from Ford is, the Panther platform included the Lincoln Town Car, the Grand Marquis and the Crown Victoria.
- Q. And the brake pressure switch that was first put in in Movember of 1991 into the Lincoln Town Car, is it your understanding that it was put into that vehicle before it was put into the Grand Marquis and the Crown Victoria?
- A. My understanding for Ford was that the Town Car used the brake pressure switch first and that the Grand Marquis and the Crown Vic used it later.
- O. Is it fair to say that the switch that ended up in the 1991 Lincoln Town Cars evolved from the design utilized in the 1983 power steering pressure switch first utilized by General Motors?
- A. I'd say the design used on the Lincoln Town Car evolved from the previous brake pressure switches supplied to Ford on their suspension system.
- Q. But we still had the similarities with the 1983 power steering pressure switch, but we have the use of the Kapton as a diaphragm, we have the

crimping using either a manual or an automated line. 1 We have those similarities. And to the extent we have those similarities, we can call that an 3 evolution, can't wa? 5 Yeah, there are similarities to those designs. There are many similarities in many of the 7 pressure switches we produce. (Exhibit Nos. 10 and 11 marked.) Let me go shead and hand you what I'll 10 mark as Deposition Exhibit No. 11. And it appears to be some of marketing material. But see if you 11 can identify this particular document for me. 12 I've seen this document before. I'm not 13 sure who wrote this specific page. 14 Pair to say that that's a Texas 15 Instruments generated or created document; is that 16 17 correct? A. Yes, that's correct. 18 Do you know approximately what time period 19 that document was created? 20 No, I do not. A. 21 22 Q. If I could see the document for just a moment. Can you give me the approximate range as to 23 when this might've been created, whether it be 1999 24 or 1991?.

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

25

- A. The -- The -- That specific page itself in its -- in that final form -- Okay -- was dated 1999 on that page. I don't know if there were other versions of it and it was cut and pasted and pasted to another presentation of 1999.

 Q. I see on here that one of the key features includes that it's designed for the underhood
 - includes that it's designed for the underhood environment. What are you trying to -- What is Texas Instruments trying to imply by this statement that it's designed for the underhood environment?
 - A. That this switch has been used in applications where the switch has been applied underhood in an automotive vehicle.
 - Q. And it also says that one of the key features includes automotive temperature range of negative 30 degrees to a hundred and 25 degrees calsius. First of all, what's the farenheit equivalent to a hundred and twenty-five degrees celsius, approximately?
 - A. I'm not sure exactly. Probably upper 200s --
 - Q. Pretty hot?

- A. -- degrees farenheit. It's -- It's hot, to above the boiling point of water.
- 25 Q. And what is Texas Instruments trying to

imply when it uses as a marketing statement that one 1 2 of the key features of this part includes that automotive temperature range can go as high as in 3 excess of 200 degrees farenheit? MS. ALVAREZ: Objection, form. TI is trying to show that the switch has 7 been used in applications where customers have -have specified temperature range environments of . 8 minus 30 to a hundred and twenty-five degrees C. 9 Let me go shead and hand you what's been 10 marked as deposition Exhibit No. 19 and see if you 11 12 can identify that document. I have seen this document before. Again, 13 I don't know exactly who put'this document together. 14 15 Q. Is it --It is a Texas Instruments document. 16 A. 17 And what's the Texas Instrument document 18 number on that, if you can? It's difficult to read. I could guess at 19 20 what it says. Okay. 21 O. TI 000625 and either a 2 or a 3, it looks 22 23 like. Do you know the approximate time period 24 ο. when this document was created? 25

1	A. No, I do not.
2	Q. Now, Deposition Exhibits No. 10 and 11,
3	these aren't materials that were just circulated to
4	Ford Motor Company. I assume that they were
5	circulated to all potential customers or buyers of
6	Texas Instruments' products who might be interested
7	in a hydraulic pressure switch; is that correct?
8	A. I don't know who these documents were
9	provided to.
10 .	Q. Who can tell me at Texas Instruments for
11	whom to whom these documents were provided?
12	A. I'm not sure. Some people in the
13	marketing department may have know some customers
14.	that these documents were provided to.
15	Q. Who's the director of marketing at Texas
L 6	Instruments fo hydraulic pressure switches?
L7	A. Our marketing manager at in our
La	automotive group at Texas Instruments is Gary Baker.
19	Q. And how long has he been the marketing
20	manager.
31	A. Approximately one year, I believe.
32	Q. And who prior to Gary baker?
23	A. Gary Saider
24	Q. And how long was Gary Snider a marketing

1	A. A marketing manager
2	Q. Marketing manager.
3 ,	A I would say, approximately three years.
4	Q. On the back of this particular document it
5	talks about technical specifications. And before I
6	ask you any questions about that, does Deposition
7	Exhibit No. 10 refer to parts like the brake
B	pressure switch that we have at issue in this
ġ	particular case?
10 -	A. I would need to relook at the document to
11	enswer that.
12	Q. (Counsel hands documents to witness.)
13	A. The construction of the switch is
14	consistent with switches used in inin brake
15	systems.
16	Q. So it's going to be fair to say that any
17	representations contained on that particular
18	document, in all likelihood, are applicable to the
19	brake pressure switch that we have at issue here.
20	the construction of the part appears to be the same?
21	A. The What's trying to be You need to
22	understand what this documents means. We're trying
23	to convey in the document some of the types of
24	specifications different switches have been tested

to. Every switch designed by TI is a custom design

for a specific customer specification. This document is not intended to define the exact specification that every TI pressure switch can meet. It's intended to provide some general information about the types of specifications that certain TI pressure switches can meet.

24.

- Q. But I assume, as a responsible component supplier and product manufacturer, you're not going to permit an automotive manufacturer to put a component part in a vehicle at a test specification that's not going to be safe for the ultimate user of that product, were you?
- A. We don't know what the specifications are that requires a product to be safe for the ultimate user. We can only guarantee that our part meets the specifications provided to us for our customer. It's our customer's responsibility to understand the full system, how that switch is used in the system to make sure that the vehicle provided to their customer is a safe vehicle.
- O. Do you feel, as a responsible component supplier and manufacturer, that it's important to determine what a potential safe specification for use of a particular product might be? Or is that something that the component supplier should not be

concerned about?

1

2

3

. 7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

. 23

24

25

- A. I think it's responsibility of the -- the system integrator, the vehicle manufacturer, to make sure that the specification provided to their suppliers is representative of the application.
- Q. Okay. So TI is saying to the jury and to the automotive manufacturers of the world out here, we're going to build whatever you tell us to build; is that correct?
- A. TI is going to provide a part that meets the customer's specifications.
- O. If I come to you as an automotive manufacturer and I tell you that I want to build the brake pressure switch out of balsa wood or some other type of inferior material that's likely to -- to burn or obviously not going to be suitable for the application, are you going to go shead and build it and provide it to me, knowing that I'm going to distribute it in my vehicles to the con -- to the public?
 - A. Can -- Can you repeat that question?
- Q. Sure. Assume I'm going to make a particular component out of like balsa wood or cardboard or some type of material like that and you know that my ultimate use for this particular

component is going to be selling it to a consumer in 1 2 Texas who's going to buy my vehicle. Are you going 3 to go ahead and permit me to utilize your product, knowing my ultimate use for this particular 5 component as a responsible component supplier? 6 A. If there are any obvious issues with the 7 specification that TI is aware of, they would present to the customer that -- a request to look at 9 a specification, then ask if that specioate (sic.) -- specification does accurately represent 10 the environment the switch will see. 11 12 ٥. So if it's an obvious problem with my potential specification, you're going to bring that 13 to my attention. Is that fair enough to may? 14 15 If -- If there's anything that TI knows 16 based on its experience, it will forward that 17 information to our customers. Pair enough. And has that been TI's 18 history and practice throughout the design and 19 manufacture of hydraulic pressure switches from 1983 20 21 to the present time period?

A. Yes, it is,

22

23

24

25

Q. Let me go ahead and turn to the back of Deposition Exhibit No. 10. And under Technical Specifications it says: Supply voltage, 6-16 V.

Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury to what that's referring?

A. That's referring to -- that there are switches that have been in applications for the supply voltages range from 6 to 16 volts.

- O. Such as the brake pressure switch?
- A. The brake pressure switch was an application where it was seeing roughly 14 volts.
- Q. And you reference on your specifications that the supply voltage for your pressure switches can be in that range?
- A. Those aren't device specifications. That is a summary of different types of applications that the switch has been exposed to; that we have switches in the field operating in. So there are examples where the switch is in applications where the supply voltage range is in that 6 volt to 16 volt range.
- Q. I see on the right-hand column of the back of the page, it says Durability. And then underneath Durability, it says Cycle Life. And it says Low/Mid press -- pressure range, up to 1 x 10 -- And I assume, to the 6th power above the 10 -- cycles. If my math is good, that's one million cycles. Is that correct?

- A. Can I see the document?
- Q. Certainly.

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 23

23

24

25

- A. Yes. One times -- I can't tell what that -- what that is. I can't tell whether that's 10 to the 6th or not.
 - Q. Assume with me that it is 10 to the 6th.

 If it is 10 to the 6th, would that equal one million cycles?
 - A. That would equal one million cycles.
 - Q. It's fair to say that Texas Instruments' generic marketing information with respect to hydraulic pressure switches states that one of the technical specifications of this particular product, in a generic sense, is that it can have a durability cycle of up to a million cycles; is that correct?
 - A. For a low and mid pressure range under certain conditions. That is not intended to define all conditions that the pressure switch would -- would meet that number of cycles.
 - Q. I understand. And you were trying to make a difference earlier when you were talking about the Volvo specification and the Ford specifications and we'll get into that later. And that's the type of point you're making right here; is that right?
 - A. I don't understand your question. Could

you rephrase your question? ı 2 Sure. I'll go ahead and drop it and I'll move on to -- to something else and I'll come back 3 to it. What is your understanding of what a low or mid pressure range is as for terms of -- I assume 5 that's something that we'd measure in terms of pounds per square inch; is that correct? 7 Pressure is measured in pounds per square inch. 9 10 Q. Right. So what's a low to mid pressure 11 range? I'm not sure if it's defined on that 12 13 document. Do you want to take a look? Well, let's take a look. ο. 14 15 The documents says that low pressure range 16 would be zero to 300 psi and mid pressure range 17 would be zero to 800 psi. And what's a high pressure range? 18 19 High pressure range defined here is zero A. to 1600 psi. 20 21 So 1450 that's utilized in the Ford specification, that falls in somewhere between the 22 mid and high; is that correct? 23 . That would be determined as a high - 24 A. No.

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

pressure range device.

I have not geen this document be .

before. 1 2 Q. Now that you've had an opportunity to look at the particular document, can you identify that 3 document? You've not seen it at all in your entire existence? Is that what you're telling me? 5 I -- I don't recall seeing this document 7 ever until you've handed it to me today. Is that a Texas Instrument document, ß Q. created or generated? Do you know? 9 I would assume so, based on the -- the 10 Α. sender and receiver on the document. 11 12 Q. What does the document represent itself to 13 be? List of Texas Instruments and Texas 14 Instruments customer part numbers and who those 15 16 parts were shipped to. And I would guess, the 17 quantity of parts shipped during different months. 18 Q. For what type of part? Looks like these are all brake pressure 19 switches. 20 Are they all brake pressure switches of 21 the type that we have in this case or are they brake 22 pressure switches of a somewhat different design? 23 There's a combination here of the 24

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

families, S7PS and the family, 77PS.

1	Q. Are the families, 57PS and 77PS
2	essentially the same or are there pronounced
3	differences between the two?
4	A. There are differences in the base
5	configurations that we discussed earlier.
6	Q. Okay. Let me ask you a couple of specific
7	questions about this particular document and your
8	part numbers in general just so I can understand
9	when I review these. When you have a PSL2-1 like we
٥.	have in this case that's a 77 PSL2-1, that's right?
1	That's what we have here, correct?
.2	A. 77PSL2-1.
.3	Q. What's the difference between a 77PSL2-1
.4	and a 3-1 that you do for Pitts Industries?
.5	. A. I'm not sure of the specific differences
.6	between those parts. If they're both 77PSL devices,
.7	typically, the difference may be around a mating
.8	connector tab, a calibration set point. Those are
9	all Those are both switches that go on Ford
20 .	vehicles.
1	Q. What about the parts, if I see a 77PSL2-1
2	and you're selling it to Pitts Industry, does that

mean that this is a part intended for use on a Ford

vehicle, but you just happen to be selling it to

Pitts Industries as opposed to Highlight?

23

24

- A. Pitts would be one of the Tier is that would be doing something with the part. I'm not specific in this example. They would be mounting the part, most likely, to something and then selling another sub-assembly to Ford. I'm not sure exactly in this case what -- what Pitts was providing to Ford.
 - Q. Okay. Who is Tokito?

- A. Tokino, again, would be another -- another supplier. They're commonly referred to in the automotive industry, as you probably know, as a Tier supplier.
- Q. And is Tokito purchasing brake pressure switches of a somewhat different design from Texas Instruments to later be supplied to Ford or for -- to some other type of replacement market or after market?
 - A. I believe it's to supply to Ford.
- Q. Let's assume that I'm a pretty good mechanic and I realize that my cruise control has broken down on my 1992 Town Car and I take a look at it and I realize that the reason my cruise control has failed is because I have a problem with my brake pressure switch, its developed a leak. That's a foreseeable failure of a brake pressure switch leak.

Is -- Is that fair to say, that my cruise control might be inoperable?

- A. I don't know whether your cruise control would be inoperable or not.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's just assume for the purposes of the hyco -- hypothetical that that is a potential failure as a result of the leakage in the brake pressure switch. If I wanted to go down to -- not the Ford dealership -- but some auto supply store, am I going to be able to find a brake pressure switch that I can utilize on my Ford vehicle?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know at all that -- whether or not Texas Instruments sells this part for use on Ford vehicles to the after market?
 - A. II sells service parts to Ford --
- Q. And --

1

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 19 A. -- for use of dealers.
 - Q. And I consider that the replacement market. And by after market I'm talking about to third parties outside the Pord distribution chain, you know, like Chief Auto Parts that he have here in Texas and the PepB ye and places like that. Can I go in there and buy a Texas Instruments manufactured

brake pressure switch to use on my vehicle? 2 TI has not sold any pressure switches to the after market that I'm aware of. 3 What I'd like you to do, I'm going to hand 5 you a little bag and let me represent to you that in this particular bag that I'm going to hand you are 6 the broken down components of a brake pressure 7 switch that could be utilized on a 1992 or 1993 9 Panther platform vehicle, so go ahead and let you take a look at this particular brake pressure switch 10 11 and see if you can identify it as such. 12 This is a -- This looks like a TI brake A. 13 pressure switch. Let me go shead and hand you the little 14 ٥. bag and let you take a look at it and see if you can 15 determine if that has essentially all of the major 16 17 components that make up this particular brake " 18 pressure switch. 19 A. No. it does not. What's missing? 20 Q. The elastomer seal. 21 A. 22 Q. Which goes --Which goes from this groove right here 23 A. (Indicating). 24

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

25

0.

Other than that particular component, is

1	cessurially exerting size rusis,
2	A. There's nothing I see that's missing at
3	this time.
4	Q. Okay. What I'd like you to be able to
5	do And I'd like the camera to be able to pick it
6 .	up if you could clear a space away, but go shead and
7	put the parts out where they can be picked up by the
8	camera what I'd like you to is, I'd like you to
9	begin using the hex port and if you could see
10	identify the hex port for us
11	A. This This part (Indicating) is the hex
12	port.
13	O and then start with that piece with the
14	hex port down, see if you can construct that
15	component for us and then identify the various
16	pieces that you're utilizing to construct that part.
17	I know it's going to be kind of loose and unstable,
18	but if you can, try and do that for us.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you have a
20	white sheet of paper you can put that on?
21	MS. KENNAMER: Jeff, if you move that
22	little pile of paper right there, it might be
23	better.
24	MR. MANSKR: Sure.
25	A. Okay. I'm putting the Kapton

1	Q. Okay. First of all, let's go ahead and
2	identify the part and then if you could show it to
. 3	the camera, what it is
•4	A. There's a
5	Q and then let's show where it is on this
6	particular fully assembled piece.
7	A. This (Indicating) is the hex port.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Wait a minute.
9	Excuse me. Steve, if you can see the monitor there,
10	you can see better if you have time to look at it.
11 .	Move your tie out of the way just a little bit.
12	Okay.
13	Q. Let me ask you some questions about the
14	hex port. I assume that that's a part that Texas
15	Instruments designed, that particular base component
16 .	for the brake pressure switch; is that correct?
17	A. This hex port was designed by TI.
18	Q. And from whom did they purchase the hex
19	port?
20	A. This has port's from Elco.
21	Q. From whom?
22	A. Elco.
23	Q. Can you epell that?
24	A. E-1-c-c.
25	Q. And I assume Elco certified that that

particular component would comply with any and all material and design specifications that TI might have had for that particular part; is that correct?

A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. Okey. Have you produced to us any design drawings that show the changes that might have been made to that particular component from the time it was initially designed up through, say, December.

- A. I'm not sure what prints may or may not have been produced.
- Q. Okay. And we have prints that you have produced and we'll go over those in a moment.

 What's the next part that you --
 - A. The Kapton diaphragm.

- Q. Okay. Can you show that to the jury so they can understand what a piece of Kapton looks like?
 - A. There's a Kapton diaphrage. There are three diaphrages in this design.
- Q. Okay. And essentially, a piece of Kapton is kind of like, to -- to put it in simple terms, is kind of something like an Oreo cookie that we use here. The black cookie parts are the teflon and the inside white is the -- the Kapton or the poly -- the

polymer; is that correct? 1 2 This is -- This device is a three-layer sandwich, one -- one layer of polyimide and 3 laminated to one layer of taflon on each side. Okay. So in the creation of this particular device, you'd put the Kapton on top of . 6 the hex port; is that correct, when you're -- when 7 you're building the assembly right now for us, that's what you were fixing to do? 9 10 A. What I'm building right now, yes Right. 11 Q. 12 A. -- on the top of the hex port. 13 TI's been using this Kapton since 1981, ο. Ford didn't design, develop or create Kapton, is 14 15 that correct? 16 Α. Ford did not design, develop, create TI has presented to Ford how we're using 17 18 Kapton in our design and TI has approved -- and Ford has approved that design. 19 If Ford wanted to use something other than 20 Kapton -- And I think you've told us that TI's used 21 Kapton on all hydraulic automotive pressure 22

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

switches -- did TI having anything designed and

Ford could've selected other than Kapton?

developed that they have tested and utilized that

23

24

TI has gone off and looked at other 1 potential materials other than Kapton. There's . 2 material that TI found that has worked properly 3 in -- in the system --0. So ---- in the pressure switch. 7 80 your recommendation as a responsible ₿ component supplier to Ford would be, Ford, we've checked and we looked and the best thing that we can find to put in this pressure switch is going to be 10 this Kapton; we've used it since 1981 and, you know, 11 we stand by it. Is that fair so say? 12 It's fair to say that based on TI's 13 experience, tens of millions of parts in the field, 14 15 that the Kapton had been operating properly; that 16 information would be available to Ford. I don't know how much of that information was -- was given 17 to Ford or not. 18 Okay. So essentially, if Ford was going 19 to purchase a brake pressure switch for use in its 20 21 vehicles from Texas Instruments, Kapton was going to be in that switch. Is -- That's a fair assumption, 22 23 right? A. Assuming that the switch with Kapton in 24

> AAA COURT REPORTERS 713 466-9325 9597 Jones Road, No. 363, Houston, Texas 77065

the switch met all specifications that Ford had

1	defined and that Ford approved that design.
2	Q. I understand. Go ahead and continue. One
3	piece of Kapton, is that all that goes there or are
4 .	there more?
5	A. There are three pieces of Kapton that
6	that are inside TI's brake pressure switches.
7	Q. And are they placed on there just kind of
. 8	willy-nilly or they're specifically or is there
9	a specific rhyme or reason as to how the three
10	layers of Kapton are placed in the brake pressure
11	switch?
12	A. The three layers are displayed at angles.
13	Q. And that's so that every edge or every
14	four point to each individual piece, its particular
15	angle is showing if you were to look at it from
16	above, is that correct? You'd see 12 points if you
17	were looking at it from above?
	Hart Isoking at It IIom above.
	A. Yes, you'd see 12 points.
18 19	•
18	A. Yes, you'd see 12 points.
18 19	A. Yes, you'd see 12 points. O. Okay. After you put those three pieces on
18 19 20 21	A. Yes, you'd see 12 points. O. Okay. After you put those three pieces on there, what would you do next?
18 19 20	A. Yes, you'd see 12 points. Q. Okay. After you put those three pieces on there, what would you do next? A. This is a This is not how it's

A. The -- The washer would go on next and

1 then the converter. Okay. Let's -- Let's slow down here. I 2 understand. And now, the washer, that again is a 3 component of the brake pressure switch that was not designed by Ford Motor Company, but by Texas 5 Instruments; is that correct? 6 The washer was designed by Texas 7 В Instruments as part of the entire pressure switch design, approved by Ford. 9 10 And who supplied the washer? Q. 11 I'm not sure who the supplier is of the A. washer. 12 13 Q. Will we be able to tell when we take a look at the component drawing at a later date; is 14 that correct? 15 I don't know if the supplier's name is on 16 17 the component drawing or not. 18 Okay. And any changes to the washer, if 19 there are any over time, would be contained on the 20 component drawing; is that correct? 21 Yes. Α. Okay. What goes next? 22 ٥. The -- The converter. 23 A. 24 Q. Is that also called the cup? 25 A. No, it's not.

```
Okay. And I see -- Hold -- Hold on.
          Q. ·
     Lat's -- Lat's look at the converter.
     hard to -- to maintain in your hand there. But that
     looks like it has a little button device on one end
     of it; is that right?
 5 .
              That's correct.
               It's kind of a -- a dime size silver
 7
     circle with a the button protruding off one end; is
     that right?
 9
10
               I'd say, more like a nickel or a
     quarter --
11
          Q. Okay.
12
               -- aise.
13
               Who made the converter?
14
               I don't know who supplies TI the
15
          A.
16
     converter.
          Q. And again, the converter was a TI design
17
     and not a Ford design; is that correct? I know the
18
     ultimate design was eventually approved by Ford
19
     Motor Company, but this was --
20
          Á.
               The --
21
          0.
               -- a TI design; is that correct?
22
               TI designed the converter.
23
          Α.
               Okay. Great. Go shead and show me the
24
     next piece that would go on there.
25
```

1	A. Another piece of Kapton would sit inside
2	the the converter and then the disk.
3	Q. Okay. The disk, let's go ahead and show
4	us the disk and how that's how that's different
5	if you can.
6	A. Parts of the disk.
7	Q. Again, Texas Instruments designed the
8.	disk; Ford didn't design the disk; is that correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Then the cup is placed on top of the
12	*saembly.
13	Q. Ford didn't design the cup; that's a Texas
14	Instrument designed cup; is that correct?
15	A. Again, it's a Texas Instruments designed
16	cup, part of the entire design approved by Ford.
17	Q. I understand that. And then what would go
18	next?
19 .	A. The The cup would be crimped.
20	Q. And that would either be crimped by an
21	automated device or a manual device and we'll cover
22	that when we go over the process. Is that correct?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. All right.
25	A. The The gasket would sit on top of the

сир. 2 Okay. And Ford didn't design the gasket; ٥. 3 that was a TI design; isn't that correct? TI designed the gasket. A. Fair enough. 5 ο. Then the base. 6 7 Right. This is a ceramic base. I may have lost 8 the ceramic pin. 9 10 ٥. Yeah. There was a little white transfer 11 pin --12 Yas. A. 13 -- that looks like the end of a -- an 14 eraser on a pencil; is that right? 15 Yes. I don't know where that part has 16 gone, but there was a ceramic pin that would sit 17 inside the hole on the cup. 18 Okay. Well, we'll look for that. That 19 transfer pin, that was a part that was designed by 20 Texas Instruments and had no design input from Ford; 21 is that correct? 22 Α. TI designed that pin as part of the entire pressure switch design that was approved by Pord. 23 I understand. What's the next part? 24 Q. 25 Is the base to be placed on top of the cup

and then the -- It'll drop if I try and put it in, but the -- this crimp ring would go around the outside of the part. It's impossible without this crimp here for me to drop it into the crimp ring when you go around the outside and crimp over the top of the base.

- O. And the brown plastic base that you're holding there, that was a part that was designed by Texas Instruments and Ford didn't have any design input into that; is that correct?
- A. Ford provided the dimensional details of what this and (Indicating) of the base needed to look like.
- Q. That's right. That's just like Ford goes out and buys a radio for one of its vehicles, it tells the supplier, here's the space where it's got to go in, a similar type of concept; is that correct?
- A. Ford's -- The -- The dimensions for this side of the -- of the base need to be to Ford's specifications that Ford defines are required so that the mating connector will seal adequately to the switch.
- Q. I understand that. With that caveat though, that particular component -- sub-component

1	or that part was designed by TI?
2	A. Designed by TI to met Ford's
3	dimensional specification
4	Q. And And
5	A at the top end of the base.
6	Q. And the final part that we have there, the
7	little silver thing, the crimp ring, again, that was
8	designed by Texas Instruments; is that correct?
9	A. Yes. This crimp ring was designed by
10	Texas Instruments as part of the entire switch
11	design that was approved by Ford.
12	Q. Every component that we've just
13	discussed or sub-component that makes up this
14	particular assembly was designed by Texas
15	Instruments and not Ford Motor Company; is that
15	correct?
17	A. The component was designed by TI. That
18	design was presented to Ford and approved by Ford.
19	Q. It's 4:30 now. What we'll go ahead and do
20	is, we'll go shead and recess at this time with the
21	agreement and understanding that we're going to
22	resume with this line of questioning at a later date
23 ·	when it's convenient with both your schedule and the
24	schedule of all counsel.

MS. ALVAREZ: All right. And just --

1 :	just to clarify, the the our the agreement.
2,	I guess, is that we will schedule according to the
3	convenience of the witness and the parties because
4	we did offer to continue this evening and to
5	continue tomorrow.
6	MR. MANSKE: Oh, you wanted to
7	continue this evening?
8	MS. ALVAREZ: If we could continue
9	tomorrow, I'd like
10	MR. MANSKE: Oh, okay.
11	MS. ALVAREZ: that.
12	MR. MANSKE: Only if we can continue
13	tomorrow?
14	MS. ALVARES: Right.
15	MR. MANSKE: Okay. I understand.
16	I'd like to thank you very much and
17	we'll look forward to continuing this at a later day.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
19	record now. The time now is 4:34.
20	(Deposition to be continued.)
21	(Proceedings concluded.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

		CHANGES	AND	SIGNATU	RE			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE			REA:	SON		
· ·							٠.	
-								
		······································		·				
							:	
	 :			- : · ·		-		_
		····			<u>.</u>	•		
		· · · · · ·		.	· · ·	٠.		
					· .			<u></u>
	· .					٠		
<u> </u>		<u> </u>						
	<u>.</u>	· .						
		 				•	-	
•								
								
.		:	<u> </u>					
	· <u> </u>	· · ·		 . · ·				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 		· · ·		
	·	· —————					•	
	: .							
		-			<u> </u>			

the state of	
is true and correct, except as noted above. THE STATE OF	ng •
THE STATE OF	_
THE STATE OF	
Defore me, on this personally appeared (or proved to me on the oath of or through identity card or other document)) to be the personal whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same the purposes and consideration therein expressed. (Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this day of the STATE OF THE STATE OF	
Before me,	
Before me,	
or through identity card or other document) to be the parson whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrum and acknowledged to me that he executed the same the purposes and consideration therein expressed. (Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this day of NOTARY PUBLIC IN AMD FOR THE STATE OF	d
or through identity card or other document) to be the parson whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrum and acknowledged to me that he executed the same the purposes and consideration therein expressed. (Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this day of NOTARY PUBLIC IN AMD FOR THE STATE OF	
whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrum and acknowledged to me that he executed the same the purposes and consideration therein expressed. (Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this day of	of
the purposes and consideration therein expressed. (Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this day of	ent
(Seel) Given under my hand and seel of office this day of	for
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF	
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF 20 21	•
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF 20 21	
THE STATE OF	
21	
22	
1	
[
23	
24	
25	

Receiveds JAN 2 9 2000				
Mair Processed By:	Il.			
	_			
DRIGINAL TO: (Plate)	Noted: Capled:	Date:		
M L3 INTA	 3	1/37		
B. Seci		***		
C. Docket:				
D. JWC	Swe	/-34		
L.	V 1			
e. EWH	2ml	161		
G.				
В.	ļ	_		
L	 	1		
J. I.A. Eleknice	2	+		
	W	1 1		
L. — File Clerk	Constant			
Attorney's Instructions to S				
	• 9	~		
i				
		ŀ		
□ Send copy to:				
Attorney's Instruction to Co	py Clerki (Cede:			
(Cleat/Motter No.1	·			
Notes				
(T) thinks, charles				
to twent				
₽	with def	20 File		
	-,			
 	 _	 -		

1	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same
2	is true and correct, except as noted above.
3	<u> </u>
4	
5	
5	
7	
8	
9	THE STATE OF Massachusetts)
10	COUNTY OF
11	Before se. Dors M. Graveline , on this day
.12	personally appeared to me on the oath of on this day
13	or through (description of identity card or other document)) to be the person
14,	whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for
15	the purposes and consideration therein expressed.
16	(Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this 200 day of Change Affi.
17	——————————————————————————————————————
18	Mrs. M. Santhai
78	HOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF MESSACHISCHES
20	
31	Hy Commission Expires on apapel
33	
23	
24	
25	



CHANGES AND SIGNATURE

PAGE LINE	CHANGE	REASON			
1. 8-10	<u> </u>	clerification			
	my to by				
2. 15-5 3. 15-26	my to by	transcription infocumunication transcription infocumunication			
4. 18-17	Bowmen to Beumann	Gallate Safet mindfillism idenou			
6. 23-19		micopoling ciarlication			
	specific to appoint instances				
6. 27-14	late in 1999. to lete in 1998,	cinfiloation			
	I believe.	_1_1_			
7. 27 – 16	when Ford to I learned when	o lerificatio n			
	Ford	:			
1. 21-1	Brien to Bryan	ation political and the state of the state o			
0. 28-B	MeGuirk to MeGuirk	- algebogging			
10. 30 - 6	tons of to ten or so	olenthusilen			
11. 39 - 4	connective to are connected to	transcription miscommunication clarification miscommunication			
12. 60 - 23	electrons to the wire	clarification			
13. 69-4	of to in	transcription misson/munication			
14. 65 – 22	add comme between details and related	transcription miscommunication			
18, 67 - 9	do to he did not	clarification			
16, 67 – 12	freed to free	transcription missommunication			
17. 75 - 19	rechaek to lask sheek	transcription miscommunication			
18, 76 - 9	Cenitevits to Centi Teves	micepelling			
19. 76 – 11	Contevite to Conti Teves	micapelling			
20. 77 - 5	Brian to Bryan	minepelling			
21, 77 – 10	ment to seen	clarification			
22. 81 - 19	we'll to will	transcription missommunication			
23. 82 - 21	yes to no, it used telton costed Kapton	clerification			
24. 85 - 11	treatment to instruments	transcription integerantualisation			
25. 96 - 1	cen't to can	transpription foliagemmunication			
26. 104-1	per lot to per running hour	conform to the facts			
	which is roughly equivalent to	· · ·			
	2009 please	• • •			
27. 104-4	four-thousend to two-thousand	conform to the facts			
28. 104-12	process to plan	clarification			
29. 107-1	you. I to you to	transcription miscommunication			
30. 105 - 1	orten heed to orimper	ciartification			
31. 116~8	the side to the Incide	transcription missemmunication			
32, 123 - 16	to find to defined	notizoinummeesim netigingenst			
33. 134 - 19	experiment regulted to experiment did not result	treneaription miscommunication			
34, 142-0	tavit to Taves	misspelling			

			:	•
3	K.	149 - 18	netu to est	transcription missommunication
		105 - 12	and to vice precident	ciartification
		195-16	MeGuirk to McGuirk	misspelling
		1 65 – 15	Britan to Bryan	misepeting
3	•	191 10	not to by	transcription miscommunication
		192 - 9	Highlight to Hillte	misopolitre
		162 - 10		
•	114	19K = 10		clarification
_			or other Tier 1's, first	
- 4	2.	194 - 14	yee, that was done to yes	to conform to the facts
			that was done on parts received	
			from the recall but not on these	
_	_		first parts collected	·
- 4	В.	194 16	yes, on the parts received from	to conform to the facts
			the recall	
4	4	196 12	dulate "k"	distilication
		200 - 1, 2		
				transcription missommunication
•	Ю,	211 - 6	not. to not but I believe it was	to conform to the facts
			based on Inputs from Ford that	
			once the data is normalized.	
			no one area of the country line	
			a higher percentage of Punther	
			pletform vehicle fires.	
•	7.	X71-11	US to US bet per Ford once	to conform to the facts
			the data is normalized no eng	
		٠.	area of the country has a higher	
			percentage of Punther platform	
			vehicle fires.	:
4	-	223 – 12	ne idea to no	
				transcription relacommunication
•	₽.	224 – 17	beck to manual	clarification
5	0.	22418	car to carengine	clarification
•	1.	226-21	It to whether your clients wash	clarification
			their engines	
	₫.	228 - 22	other, to other but I personally	chartifeation
_	_			VIEW INCOME.
			would not wash my engine	
_	_			
5	3.	220 ~ 15	uncontaminated to contaminated	
8	4.	242 - 4, 6	delete "and desen"t use a a	conform to the facts
			orises"	
<u>, s</u>	۸.	247 - 11	for to from	transcription miscommunication
			heter to Salver	unjeeDelijust
		261 - 23	Snitter to Smyller	misspelling
		262 – 9	Tokito to Tokiko	misspelling
	ŧ.	200 – 2	not to no	transcription missemmunication
6	٥.	270 - 12	displayed to splayed	transcription miseommunication
		274-8	bees to pin	transcription miscountrunication
•	•••	-17		to an an description of the state of the sta

- Floreti & Hein Beard Cartifliant
 - · Fermal Injection
 - · Col Malan

أحجنا أن إحبالي

- ii Micash Marat
- 🗷 Cleryl D. Holy of Counsel

January 28, 2000

Mr. Lee Parks LEE PARKS, ET AL, INC. d/b/a AAA COURT REPORTERS 9597 Jones Road, #363 Houston, Texas 77065

Re:

Cause No.

Van Buridec Motors, Inc., Ford Motor Company, and Texas Instruments, Inc.

Deer Mr. Parks:

have not yet received the original deposition transcript of Steve Beringhause taken in connection with the above referenced cause, nor have I received a reaponse to my correspondence of January 18, 2000. Nonetheless, I affidavit along with his corrections. am submitting herewith These are being returned to you pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, despite the fact that the original deposition transcript was not provided to me, as required by the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

Please give me a call if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

HOLE & ALVAREZ, L.L.P.

By:

Micaela Alvarez

Wester Tower Contra ATZ W. Holand Selle 179 F.O. Sex 729547 Jichilea, TX 78504

> Ph. (954) 629-2871 Fee (954) 439-246

Halalling: Geology

MA:boo Encloaure



Mr. Lee Parks Jenuary 25, 2000 Page 2

bxc:

Mr. Eric Mayer Mr. Johnny Center SUSMAN GOOFREY, L.L.P. 6100 First Interestate Bank Plaza 1000 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002-5098 (w/ ancl.)

Mr. Bruce H. Gleseman TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED 7839 Churchill Way, MS 3999 Dalles, Texas 75251 (w/ end.)

Mr. Russell E. Baumenn TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED 34 Forest Street, MS 20-21 P.O. Box 2984 Attleboro, MA 02703-0984 (w/ encl.)

1	I, the same of the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same
3	in true and correct, except as noted above.
3	
4	
	
7	
•	THE STATE OF Massachusetts)
	COUNTY OFBristol
10	
11	Refore Mu, Dore M. Graveline , on this day
13	personally appeared to me on the oath of
13	or through (description of identity card or other document)) to be the person
14	IVADES DARE IS SUDECTIONS to the foresting increase.
15	and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.
16	(Sant) Given under my hand and sent of office
17	this day of francy, der
18	The less At 1.
19	HOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
20	THE STATE OF MASSACHUSCHS
21	M. A
22	My Commission Expires in afability
23	
21	
25	



CHANGES AND BIGNATURE

PAGE LINE	CHANGE	REASON
1. 8-10		ofer Figation
2 15-5	iny to by	Vanecription miscommunication
3. 1 5 - 25	my to by	trutecription missemmunication misspelling
4, 18-17	Bourner to Betmenn	miespelijng
6. 23 – 19	specific to specific instances	oluntification
£ 27-14	teto in 1996, to late in 1996, I believe.	clerification
7. 27-18	when Ford to I learned when Ford	cientification
8. 28-8 9. 28-8	Grien to Bryan	adespetting
	MeGuirk to McGutrk	micepelling.
10. 30 - 8	tens of to ten oreo	clarification
11. 39 – 4	connective to are connected to	transcription miscommunication
12. 50-25	electrons to the wire	ciarification
13. 59 - 4	of to in	transcription miscommunication
14. 65 – 22	add comme between details and related	transcription miscommunication
18. 87 - 9	ide to hedikinat	ciarification
18, 87 – 12	treed to free	transcription miscommunication transcription miscommunication
17. 78 - 10	rechesk to leak chesk	transcription miscommunication
18. 76 - B	Conferrite to Conti Teves	wisepailing
19, 76 - 11	Contevits to Conti Teves	misspelling
20. 77 - 5	Brian to Bryan	nisspelling
21. 77 - 10	eent to seen	clarification
22. 81 - 19	we'll to will	transcription miscommunication
23. 62 - 21	yes to no, it used tellon costed Kapton	ciarticution
24, 85-11	treatment to instruments	transcription relecommunication
25. 90 1	can't to can	transcription Inlecommunication
26. 104-1	per lot to per running hour which is roughly equivalent to 2000 pieces	conform to the fasts
27. 104 - 4	four-thousand to two-thousand	conform to the facts
28. 104-12	process to plan	clarification
29. 107 – 1	you. I to you to	transcription miscommunication
30. 106 - 1	orimp head to orimper	clarification
31. 118-5	tive side to the inside	trensoription miscommunication
32. 123 – 16	to find to defined	transcription miscommunication
33. 134 – 19	experiment resulted to experiment did not result	transcription exiscommunication
94. 142 - 6	tevil to Teves	misépeliing

			transcription miscommunication
	149 - 15	actu to act	santication
	165 - 12	one to vice president	
	165 - 15	MeGuirk to MeGuirk	wisspelling
	185 – 16	Brien to Bryan	misspelling
	191 ~ 19	not to by	transcription miscommunication
40.	192 – 9	Highlight to Hillite	wiesbeijing
41.	192 – 1 0	industry first to industry,	clarification
		or other Tier 1's, first	
42.	194 – 14	yes, that was done to yes	to conform to the facts
		that was done on parts received	
		from the recall but not on these	
		first parts collected	•
43.	194 16	yes, on the parts received from	to conform to the facts
		the recall	
	186 12	dulete "k"	clarification
	200 1, 2	time period to registance	transcription missemmunication
46.	211 – 6	not to not but I believe it was	to conform to the facts
		based on Inputs from Ford that	
		once the data is normalized,	
		no one area of the country has	
		a higher percentage of Panther	
		pletform vehicle fires.	
47.	211 – 11	UB to UB but per Ford once	to conform to the facts
		the data is normalized no one	•
		area of the country has a higher	•
		percentage of Penther platform	
		vehicle fires.	
	223 – 12	no idea to no	transcription relacommunication
	224 - 17	book to manual	clarification
	224 - 12	car to car engine	clarification
57.	226 - 21	it to whether your clients wash	clarification
		their engines	. P
82.	126 – 22	other, to other but I personally	ciartication
		would not weak my engine	•
	228 - 15	uncontaminated to contaminated	terresition missessesses
	242 - 4.5	delate "and docen't use a a	conform to the facts
•	496 - 9, 0	Outpub.	CONTRACTOR OF CHANGE
**	247 - 11	See to See	transcription miscommunication
•	251 – 22	for to from baker to Baker	misspelling
	251 - 22 251 - 23	Snider to Snyder	misepeting
	262 – 9	Takito to Tokko	nyseketinä
	280 - 2	not to no	transpription missommunication
	270 - 12	displayed to splayed	transcription miscommunication
	274 - 6	bese to pin	transcription miscommunication
VI.	214-0	REGAL DE PULL	Marrie de la constitución de la