

## Projected Fuel Economy Performance Report

NHTSA is providing projected fuel economy values for manufacturers and fleets as a supplement to other reporting provided through the CAFE Public Information Center<sup>1</sup> which contains only EPA verified final model year data. The data in this report are projected values based on each manufacturer's Pre- and Mid-Model Year Reports (required by 49 CFR 537) and have not been validated or verified by NHTSA or EPA. For further questions, please contact the NHTSA CAFE Enforcement team by email at CAFE@dot.gov.

**Table 1: Manufacturer Projected Fuel Economy Values**

<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Fleet</u>	<u>MY 2016<sup>2</sup></u>			<u>MY 2017<sup>3</sup></u>	
		<u>CAFE</u>	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Production</u>	<u>CAFE</u>	<u>Standard</u>
BMW	IP	34.0	36.2	286,507	36.3	38.5
BMW	LT	28.8	29.9	99,995	30.0	30.6
Daimler	IP	34.4	35.5	222,131	33.3	36.9
Daimler	LT	27.3	29.5	110,279	26.5	29.9
Fiat Chrysler	DP	31.6	35.6	593,642	30.3	37.4
Fiat Chrysler	IP	31.1	37.4	62,457	32.1	40.0
Fiat Chrysler	LT	26.5	29.0	1,373,479	27.1	29.7
Ford	DP	36.0	36.5	973,289	36.3	38.5
Ford	IP	30.8	38.0	1,152	81.5	40.8
Ford	LT	25.7	27.2	1,124,932	27.7	28.2
GM	DP	34.5	36.2	1,082,664	36.6	38.1
GM	IP	38.7	39.9	138,090	25.0	27.6
GM	LT	25.0	27.0	1,378,136	25.0	27.0
Honda	DP	41.9	37.3	960,847	41.9	39.0
Honda	IP	45.3	40.3	95,179	45.5	41.6
Honda	LT	30.9	30.4	725,187	32.3	31.0
Hyundai	IP	38.3	36.8	569,941	38.3	36.8
Hyundai	LT	26.3	30.5	18,339	26.3	30.5
Jaguar Land Rover	IP	27.3	34.3	16,435	31.6	36.8
Jaguar Land Rover	LT	24.9	29.8	95,323	27.8	30.5
Kia	IP	36.2	37.1	537,478	36.3	36.8
Kia	LT	26.7	29.6	139,045	26.7	29.3
Mazda	DP	50.2	40.9	600	43.3	39.8
Mazda	IP	41.8	37.2	346,364	39.0	38.9

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.nhtsa.gov/link/CAFE\\_PIC/CAFE\\_PIC\\_Home.htm](http://www.nhtsa.gov/link/CAFE_PIC/CAFE_PIC_Home.htm)

<sup>2</sup> MY 2016 Mid-Model Fuel Economy Performance Data

<sup>3</sup> MY 2017 Pre-Model Fuel Economy Performance Data

**Manufacturer Projected Fuel Economy Values**

<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Fleet</u>	<u>MY 2016</u>			<u>MY 2017</u>	
		<u>CAFE</u>	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Production</u>	<u>CAFE</u>	<u>Standard</u>
Mazda	LT	34.3	31.4	142,146	33.4	31.8
Mitsubishi	IP	36.2	38.9	26,499	44.4	42.4
Mitsubishi	LT	33.9	32.9	31,683	34.6	34.2
Nissan	DP	42.0	37.2	703,960	40.8	39.2
Nissan	IP	38.0	36.9	230,169	36.7	39.0
Nissan	LT	30.7	30.2	381,893	28.7	30.0
Subaru	IP	38.2	37.9	138,600	38.3	39.7
Subaru	LT	36.4	32.4	286,644	36.8	33.6
Tesla	DP	320.4	32.1	43,161	367.4	35.0
Toyota	DP	37.2	36.4	402,641	38.2	38.5
Toyota	IP	41.2	37.9	897,281	42.2	40.0
Toyota	LT	26.7	29.3	930,036	29.0	29.9
Volkswagen	DP	38.7	36.2	54,960	36.1	38.4
Volkswagen	IP	32.1	38.1	343,072	32.6	38.3
Volkswagen	LT	27.8	30.6	98,977	27.1	29.6
Volvo	IP	35.3	35.9	32,468	35.7	37.3
Volvo	LT	29.7	29.6	58,187	31.0	30.1

**Table 2: Total U.S. Fleet Projected Fuel Economy Values**

<u>Fleet</u>	<u>MY 2016</u>			<u>MY 2017</u>	
	<u>CAFE</u>	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Production</u>	<u>CAFE</u>	<u>Standard</u>
DP	37.2	36.5	4,815,764	38.5	38.5
IP	37.6	37.3	3,943,823	32.8	34.5
LT	27.2	28.8	6,994,281	28.0	29.3
Total	32.1	32.8	15,753,868	31.8	33.0